LAZARD RETIREMENT SERIES, INC. 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112-6300 (800) 823-6300 STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION May 1, 2024

Lazard Retirement Series, Inc. (the "Fund") is a no-load, open-end management investment company known as a mutual fund. This Statement of Additional Information ("SAI"), which is not a prospectus, supplements and should

The Fund's most recent Annual Report and Semi-Annual Report to Shareholders are separate documents supplied with this SAI, and the financial statements, accompanying notes and report of independent registered public accounting firm appearing in the Annual Report are incorporated by reference into this SAI.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Investments, Investment Techniques and Risks	1
Investment Restrictions	48
Management	49
Determination of Net Asset Value	64
Portfolio Transactions	66
Disclosure of Portfolio Holdings	68
How to Buy and Sell Shares	69
Distribution and Servicing Arrangements	70
Dividends and Distributions	72
Certain Material US Federal Income Tax Considerations	72
Additional Information About the Fund and Portfolios	79
Counsel and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	82
Appendix A	A-1
Appendix B	B-1

The Fund is a Maryland corporation organized on February 13, 1997. Each Portfolio is a separate series of the Fund, an open-end management investment company, known as a mutual fund. Each Portfolio, other than the Equity Concentrated, Franchise and Enhanced Opportunities Portfolios, is a diversified investment company, which means that, with respect to 75% of its total assets, the Portfolio will not invest more than 5% of its total assets in the securities of any single issuer nor hold more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any single issuer.

Lazard Asset Management LLC serves as the investment manager (the "Investment Manager") to each of the Portfolios.

Lazard Asset Management Securities LLC (the "Distributor") is the distributor of each Portfolio's shares.

INVESTMENTS, INVESTMENT TECHNIQUES AND RISKS

The following information supplements and should be read in conjunction with the Fund's Prospectus.

Market Risk; Market Developments

The market values of securities or other assets will fluctuate, sometimes sharply and unpredictably, due to changes in general market conditions, overall economic trends or events, governmental actions or intervention, political developments, actions taken by the Federal Reserve System, the central bank of the United States (the "Federal Reserve"), or other central banks, market disruptions caused by trade disputes or other events or circumstances, natural disasters, a pandemic or other public health crisis, investor sentiment and other factors that may or may not be related to the issuer of the security or other asset. Economies and financial markets throughout the world are increasingly interconnected. Economic, financial or political events; trading and tariff arrangements; armed conflicts or terrorist activities; wars; economic sanctions and countermeasures in response to sanctions; major cybersecurity events; environmental disasters; natural disasters; public health crises; and other events or circumstances in one country or region could have profound impacts on global economies or markets. As a result, whether or not a Portfolio invests in securities of issuers located in or with significant exposure to the countries directly affected by such events or circumstances, the value and liquidity of the Portfolio's investments may be negatively affected. Raising the ceiling on US government debt has become increasingly politicized. Any failure to increase the total amount that the US government is authorized to borrow could lead to a default on US government obligations, with unpredictable consequences for economies and markets in the US and elsewhere. Market volatility, inflation (or expectations for inflation), deflation (or expectations for deflation), dramatic interest rate moves and/or unfavorable economic conditions may lower a Portfolio's performance or impair a Portfolio's ability to achieve its investment objective. The Investment Manager intends to monitor developments and seek to manage the Portfolios in a manner consistent with achieving each Portfolio's investment objective, but there can be no assurance that it will be successful in doing so.

The rapid and global spread of COVID-19 resulted in volatility in financial markets worldwide; reduced liquidity of many instruments; border closings and other restrictions on international and, in some cases, local travel; significant disruptions to business operations, including disruptions to supply chains, consumer demand and employee availability, and, in some cases, business closures; strained health care systems; quarantines, health screenings and testing and other measures intended to contain the spread of COVID-19 affecting individuals, businesses of all types, certain government operations, public and private educational systems, and public and private cultural, charitable and other institutions; and widespread uncertainty regarding the duration and long-term effects of the pandemic. Some sectors of the economy, certain industries and individual issuers have experienced particularly adverse effects and there may be adverse impacts on the broader financial and credit markets. Certain risks discussed in the Prospectus and elsewhere in this SAI may be exacerbated by these circumstances, such as credit risk, liquidity risk, interest rate risk and the risks of investing in certain sectors, industries or issuers. Developing or emerging market countries may be more affected by public health or other emergencies.

The US government and the Federal Reserve, as well as certain other governments and central banks, have taken extraordinary actions to support local and global economies and the financial markets in response to geopolitical and social events affecting markets. These actions have resulted, and any similar future actions may be expected to further result, in significant expansion of public debt, including in the US, the long term consequences of which are not known. Recently, the US and other governments have also made investments and engaged in infrastructure modernization projects that have also increased public debt and spending. Actions taken to-date and any similar

future actions, such as government intervention in the economy and financial markets intended to address market	

are satisfied; profits may be paid out in dividends or reinvested in the company to help it grow. Increases and decreases in earnings are usually reflected in a company's common equity securities, so common equity securities generally have the greatest appreciation and depreciation potential of all corporate securities. Common stock may

Hybrid-Preferred Securities. Hybrid-preferred securities are typically junior and fully subordinated liabilities of an issuer or the beneficiary of a guarantee that is junior and fully subordinated to the other liabilities of the guarantor. In addition, hybrid-preferred securities typically permit an issuer to defer the payment of income for eighteen months or more without triggering an event of default. Generally, the maximum deferral period is five years. Because of their subordinated position in the capital structure of an issuer, the ability to defer payments for extended

would deepen the subordination of the investor, hence worsening standing in a bankruptcy. CoCos typically sit above equity and below senior debt with respect to seniority and are described further below under "Convertible Securities." In addition, some such instruments have a set stock conversion rate that would cause an automatic write-down of capital if the price of the stock is below the conversion price on the conversion date.

Preferred securities may be subject to changes in regulations and there can be no assurance that the current regulatory treatment of preferred securities will continue.

<u>Convertible Securities</u>. Convertible securities may be converted at either a stated price or stated rate into underlying shares of common stock. Convertible securities have characteristics similar to both fixed-income and equity securities. Convertible securities generally are subordinated to other similar but non-convertible securities of the same issuer, although convertible bonds, as corporate debt obligations, enjoy seniority in right of payment to all equity securities, and convertible preferred stock is senior to common stock, of the same issuer. Because of the subordination feature, however, convertible securities typically have lower ratings than similar non-convertible securities.

A convertible security may be subject to redemption at the option of the issuer at a price established in a charter provision, indenture or other governing instrument pursuant to which the convertible security was issued. If a convertible security held by a Portfolio is called for redemption, the Portfolio will be required to redeem the security, convert it into the underlying common stock or sell it to a third party. Certain convertible debt securities may provide a put option to the holder which entitles the holder to cause the security to be redeemed by the issuer at a premium over the stated principal amount of the debt security under certain circumstances.

Although to a lesser extent than with fixed-income securities, the market value of convertible securities tends to decline as interest rates increase and, conversely, tends to increase as interest rates decline. In addition, because of the conversion feature, the market value of convertible securities tends to vary with fluctuations in the market value of the underlying common stock. A unique feature of convertible securities is that as the market price of the underlying common stock declines, convertible securities tend to trade increasingly on a yield basis and so may not experience market value declines to the same extent as the underlying common stock. When the market price of the underlying common stock increases, the prices of the convertible securities tend to rise as a reflection of the value of the underlying common stock. While no securities investments are without risk, investments in convertible securities generally entail less risk than investments in common stock of the same issuer.

Convertible securities provide for a stable stream of income with generally higher yields than common stocks, but there can be no assurance of current income because the issuers of the convertible securities may default on their obligations. A convertible security, in addition to providing fixed income, offers the potential for capital appreciation through the conversion feature, which enables the holder to benefit from increases in the market price of the underlying common stock. T(conr1(niT 1 dt)1(secu.r51lsm)1(99 .599998ary withtion fe)1(abs)-1(ueertible)1()-1and so may not be convertible securities.

duration of three years would be expected to decline 3% if interest rates rose 1%. Conversely, the market price of the same bond would be expected to increase 3% if interest rates fell 1%. The market price of a bond with a duration of six years would be expected to increase or decline twice as much as the market price of a bond with a three-year duration. Duration is a way of measuring a security's maturity in terms of the average time required to receive the present value of all interest and principal payments as opposed to its term to maturity. The maturity of a security measures only the time until final payment is due; it does not take account of the pattern of a security's cash flows over time, which would include how cash flow is affected by prepayments and by changes in interest rates. Incorporating a security's yield, coupon interest payments, final maturity and option features into one measure, duration is computed by determining the weighted average maturity of a bond's cash flows, where the present values of the cash flows serve as weights. In computing the duration of a Portfolio, the Investment Manager will estimate the duration of obligations that are subject to features such as prepayment or redemption by the issuer, put options retained by the investor or other embedded options, taking into account the influence of interest rates on prepayments and coupon flows.

Average weighted maturity is the length of time, in days or years, until the securities held by a Portfolio, on average, will mature or be redeemed by their issuers. The average maturity is weighted according to the dollar amounts

Portfolio may invest. From time to time, uncertainty regarding the status of negotiations in the US government to increase the statutory debt ceiling could: increase the risk that the US government default on payments on certain US government securities; cause the credit rating of the US government to be downgraded or increase volatility in both stock and bond markets; result in higher interest rates; reduce prices of US Treasury securities; and/or increase the costs of certain kinds of debt.

<u>Corporate Debt Securities</u>. Corporate debt securities include corporate bonds, debentures, notes and other similar instruments, including certain convertible securities. Corporate debt securities may be acquired with warrants attached to purchase additional fixed-income securities at the same coupon rate. A decline in interest rates would permit a Portfolio to buy additional bonds at the favorable rate or to sell the warrants at a profit. If interest rates rise, the warrants would generally expire with no value. Corporate income-producing securities also may include forms of preferred or preference stock, which may be considered equity securities. The rate of interest on a corporate debt security may be fixed, floating or variable, and may vary inversely with respect to a reference rate such as interest rates or other financial indicators.

Ratings of Securities; Unrated Securities. Subsequent to its purchase by a Portfolio, an issue of rated securities may cease to be rated or its rating may be reduced below any minimum that may be required for purchase by the Portfolio. Once the rating of a portfolio security has been changed or a rated security has ceased to be rated, a Portfolio will consider all circumstances deemed relevant in determining whether to continue to hold the security. In addition, it is possible that a Rating Agency might not timely change its ratings of a particular issue to reflect subsequent events. To the extent the ratings given by a Rating Agency for any securities change as a result of changes in such organizations or their rating systems, a Portfolio will attempt to use comparable ratings as standards for its investments in accordance with any investment policies described in such Portfolio's Prospectus and this SAI. The ratings of the Rating Agencies represent their opinions as to the quality of the securities which they undertake to rate. It should be emphasized, however, that ratings are relative and subjective and are not absolute standards of quality. Although these ratings may be an initial criterion for selection of portfolio investments, the Investment Manager also will evaluate these securities and the creditworthiness of the issuers of such securities based upon financial and other available information.

Unrated securities may be less liquid than comparable rated securities, because dealers may not maintain daily markets in such securities and retail markets for many of these securities may not exist. As a result, a Portfolio's ability to sell these securities when, and at a price, the Investment Manager deems appropriate may be diminished. To the extent that a Portfolio invests in unrated securities, the Portfolio's success in achieving its investment objective may depend more heavily on the Investment Manager's credit analysis than if the Portfolio invested exclusively in rated securities.

Lower-Rated Securities. Fixed-income securities rated below investment grade, such as those rated Ba by Moody's or BB by S&P Global Ratings, and as low as those rated Caa/CCC by a Rating Agency at the time of purchase (commonly known as "high yield" or "junk bonds"), or, if unrated, deemed to be of comparable quality by the Investment Manager, though higher yielding, are characterized by higher risk. See Appendix A for a general description of securities ratings. These securities may be subject to certain risks with respect to the issuing entity and to greater market fluctuations than certain lower yielding, higher-rated securities. These securities generally are considered by the Rating Agencies to be, on balance, predominantly speculative with respect to the issuer's ability to make principal and interest payments in accordance with the terms of the obligation and generally will involve more credit risk than securities in the higher rating categories. Such securities' higher yield compared to yields of securities rated investment grade is what the investor receives in return for bearing greater credit risk. The higher credit risk associated with below investment grade securities potentially can have a greater effect on the value of such securities than may be the case with higher quality issues of comparable maturity, and, to the extent a Portfolio invests in such securities, will be a substantial factor in the Portfolio's relative share price volatility. The ratings of the Rating Agencies represent their opinions as to the quality of the obligations which they undertake to rate. It should be emphasized, however, that ratings are relative and subjective and are not absolute standards of quality and, although ratings may be useful in evaluating the safety of interest and principal payments, they do not evaluate the market value risk of these securities. Although these ratings may be an initial criterion for selection of portfolio investments, the Investment Manager also will evaluate these securities and the ability of the issuers of such securities to pay interest and principal based upon financial and other available information. The success of a

Portfolio's investments in lower-rated securities may be more dependent on the Investment Manager's credit analysis than might be the case for investments in higher-rated securities.

Bond prices generally are inversely related to interest rate changes. However, bond price volatility also may be inversely related to coupon. Accordingly, below investment grade securities may be relatively less sensitive to interest rate changes than higher quality securities of comparable maturity, because of their higher coupon.

The prices of these securities can fall dramatically in response to negative news about the issuer or its industry. The market values of many of these securities also tend to be more sensitive to general economic conditions, particularly economic downturns, than are higher-rated securities and will fluctuate over time. Companies that issue certain of these securities often are highly leveraged and may not have available to them more traditional methods of financing. Therefore, the risk associated with acquiring the securities of such issuers generally is greater than is the case with higher rated securities. An economic downturn could adversely affect the ability of the issuers of lower-rated securities to repay principal and pay interest thereon and increase the incidence of default for such securities. For example, during an economic downturn or a sustained period of rising interest rates, highly leveraged issuers of these securities may not have sufficient revenues to meet their interest payment obligations. The issuer's ability to service its debt obligations also may be affected adversely by specific corporate developments, forecasts, or the unavailability of additional financing. The risk of loss because of default by the issuer is significantly greater for the holders of these securities because such securities generally are unsecured and often are subordinated to other creditors of the issuer. It is likely that an economic recession also would disrupt severely the market for such securities and have an adverse impact on their value.

Because there is no established retail secondary market for many of these securities, it is anticipated that such securities could be sold only to a limited number of dealers or institutional investors. To the extent a secondary trading market for these securities does exist, it generally is not as liquid as igher rh[7.59999847 Tm [servionomi]1(i07.59999847 Ta)10

receipt and processing of payments due to the Portfolio under the security. The Portfolio would have the right to receive payments of principal, interest and any fees to which it is entitled only from the Intermediate Participant and only upon receipt of the payments from the Borrower. The Portfolio generally will have no right to enforce compliance by the Borrower nor any rights of set-off against the Borrower, and the Portfolio may not directly benefit from any collateral supporting the obligation in which it has purchased the participation interest. Because it may be necessary to assert through an Intermediate Participant such rights as may exist against the Borrower, if the Borrower fails to pay principal and interest when due the Portfolio may be subject to delays, expenses and risks that are greater than those that would be involved if the Portfolio were to enforce its rights directly against the Borrower. Moreover, under the terms of a participation interest, the Portfolio may be regarded as a creditor of the Intermediate Participant (rather than of the Borrower), so that the Portfolio also may be subject to the risk that the Intermediate Participant may become insolvent. In the event of the insolvency of the Intermediate Participant, the Portfolio may be treated as a general creditor of the Intermediate Participant and may not benefit from any set-off between the Intermediate Participant and the Borrower. Certain participation interests may be structured in a manner designed to avoid purchasers being subject to the credit risk of the Intermediate Participant, but even under such a structure, in the event of the Intermediate Participant's insolvency, the Intermediate Participant's servicing of the participation interests may be delayed and the assignability of the participation interest impaired. Similar risks may arise with respect to the Agent Bank if, for example, assets held by the Agent Bank for the benefit of the Portfolio were determined by the appropriate regulatory authority or court to be subject to the claims of the Agent Bank's creditors. In such case, the Portfolio might incur certain costs and delays in realizing payment in connection with the participation interest or suffer a loss of principal and/or interest. Further, in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the Borrower, the obligation of the Borrower to repay the loan may be subject to certain defenses that can be asserted by such Borrower as a result of improper conduct by the Agent Bank or Intermediate Participant.

A Portfolio may have difficulty disposing of participation interests because to do so it will have to sell such securities to a third party. Because there is no established secondary market for such securities, it is anticipated that such securities could be sold only to a limited number of institutional investors. The lack of an established secondary market may have an adverse impact on the value of such securities and the Portfolio's ability to dispose of particular participation interests when necessary to meet the Portfolio's liquidity needs or in response to a specific economic event such as a deterioration in the creditworthiness of the Borrower. The lack of an established secondary market for participation interests also may make it more difficult for the Portfolio to assign a value to these securities for purposes of valuing the Portfolio's investments and calculating its NAV.

Mortgage-Related Securities. Mortgage-related securities, which may be considered a form of derivative, are collateralized by pools of commercial or residential mortgages. Pools of mortgage loans are assembled as securities for sale to investors by various governmental, government-related and private organizations. These securities may include complex instruments such as those described below and include pass-through securities, adjustable rate mortgages or other kinds of mortgage-backed securities, including those with fixed, floating and variable interest rates; interest rates based on multiples of changes in a specified index of interest rates and those with interest rates that change inversely to changes in interest rates; and those that do not bear interest.

Mortgage-related securities are complex instruments, subject to credit, prepayment risk and interest rate risk, and may be more volatile and less liquid, and more difficult to price accurately, than more traditional debt securities. Although certain mortgage-related securities are guaranteed by a third party (such as a US government agency or instrumentality with respect to government-related mortgage-backed securities) or otherwise similarly secured, the market value of the security, which may fluctuate, is not secured. Mortgage-backed securities issued by private issuers, whether or not such securities are subject to guarantees or another form of credit enhancement, may entail greater risk than securities directly or indirectly guaranteed by the US government. The market value of mortgage-related securities depends on, among other things, the level of interest rates, the securities' coupon rates and the payment history of the mortgagors of the underlying mortgages. Mortgage-related securities generally are subject to credit risks associated with the performance of the underlying mortgage properties and to prepayment risk. In certain instances, the credit risk associated with mortgage-related securities can be reduced by third party guarantees or other forms of credit support. Improved credit risk does not reduce prepayment risk which is unrelated to the rating assigned to the mortgage-related security. Prepayment risk can lead to fluctuations in value of the mortgage-related security which may be pronounced. If a mortgage-related security is purchased at a premium, all or part of the premium may be lost if the market value of the security declines, whether resulting from changes in interest rates

predict the life of a particular Ginnie Mae. Payments to holders of Ginnie Maes consist of the monthly distributions of interest and principal less GNMA's and the issuer's fees. The actual yield to be earned by a holder of a Ginnie Mae is calculated by dividing interest payments by the purchase price paid for the Ginnie Mae (which may be at a premium or a discount from the face value of the certificate). Monthly distributions of interest, as contrasted to semi-annual distributions which are common for other fixed interest investments, have the effect of compounding and thereby raising the effective annual yield earned on Ginnie Maes.

Mortgage-related securities issued by FNMA, including FNMA Guaranteed Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates (also known as "Fannie Maes"), are solely the obligations of FNMA and are not backed by or entitled to the full faith and credit of the US government. Fannie Maes are guaranteed as to timely payment of principal and interest by FNMA. Mortgage-related securities issued by FHLMC include FHLMC Mortgage Participation Certificates (also known as "Freddie Macs" or "PCs"). Freddie Macs are not guaranteed by the US government or by any Federal Home Loan Bank and do not constitute a debt or obligation of the US government or of any Federal Home Loan Bank. Freddie Macs entitle the holder to timely payment of interest, which is guaranteed by FHLMC. FHLMC guarantees either ultimate collection or timely payment of all principal payments on the underlying mortgage loans. When FHLMC does not guarantee timely payment of principal, FHLMC may remit the amount due on account of its guarantee of ultimate payment of principal at any time after default on an underlying mortgage, but in no event later than one year after it becomes payable. In 2019, FHFA (as defined below) began mandating that FNMA and FHLMC cease issuing their own MBS and begin issuing "Uniform Mortgage-Backed Securities" or "UMBS." Each UMBS has a 55-day remittance cycle and can be used as collateral in either a FNMA or a FHLMC CMO or held for investment. Investors may be approached to convert existing mortgage-backed securities into UMBS, possibly with an inducement fee being offered to holders of FHLMC PCs.

FNMA and FHLMC Conservatorship and Treasury Support. It is possible that issuers of U.S. Government securities will not have the funds to meet their payment obligations in the future. FNMA and FHLMC (together, the "Enterprises") continue to operate under conservatorship of the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA"), as they have since 2008. Treasury provides the Enterprises with financial support through the Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements ("SPSPAs"), which were executed on September 7, 2008, one day after the Enterprises were placed in conservatorships. The SPSPAs were designed to ensure that the Enterprises: (i) provide stability to the financial markets; (ii) prevent disruptions in the availability of mortgage finance; and (iii) protect the taxpayer.

The FHFA and U.S. Presidential administration have made public statements regarding plans to consider ending the conservatorships. Under a letter agreement between the FHFA (in its role as conservator) and the U.S. Treasury, the FHFA is prohibited from removing its conservatorship of each enterprise until litigation regarding the conservatorship has ended and each enterprise has retained equity capital levels equal to three percent of their total assets. It is unclear how long it will be before the FHFA will be able to remove its conservatorship of the enterprises under this letter agreement. The FHFA has indicated that the conservatorship of each enterprise will end when the director of FHFA determines that FHFA's plan to restore the enterprise to a safe and solvent condition has been completed. The FHFA recently announced plans to consider taking the Enterprises out of conservatorship and has begun a multi-step process, including its first pricing review of Enterprise products since 2015, to unwind the Enterprises from government control. In the event that the Enterprises are taken out of conservatorship, it is unclear how their respective capital structure would be constructed and what impact, if any, there would be on each of the Enterprises' creditworthiness and guarantees of certain mortgage-backed securities. The Enterprises are dependent upon the continued support of the U.S. Department of the Treasury and FHFA in order to continue their business operations. These factors, among others, could affect the future status and role of the Enterprises and the value of their securities and the securities which they guarantee.

Commercial Mortgage-Related Securities. Commercial mortgage-related securities generally are multi-class debt or pass-through certificates secured by mortgage loans on commercial properties. Similar to residential mortgage-related securities, commercial mortgage-related securities have been issued using a variety of structures, including multi-class structures featuring senior and subordinated classes. These mortgage-related securities generally are constructed to provide protection to holders of the senior classes against potential losses on the underlying mortgage loans. This protection is generally provided by having the holders of the subordinated classes of securities ("Subordinated Securities") take the first loss if there are defaults on the underlying commercial mortgage loans. Other protection, which may benefit all of the classes or particular classes, may include issuer guarantees, reserve funds, additional Subordinated Securities, cross-collateralization and over-collateralization. Commercial lending,

for example, typically involves larger loans to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers than residential one-to four-family mortgage loans. In addition, the repayment of loans secured by income-producing properties typically is dependent upon the successful operation of the related real estate project and the cash flow generated therefrom. Consequently, adverse changes in economic conditions and circumstances are more likely to have an adverse impact on mortgage-related securities secured by loans on certain types of commercial properties than those secured by loans on residential properties. The risks that recovery or repossessed collateral might be unavailable or inadequate to support payments on commercial mortgage-related securities may be greater than is the case for non-multifamily residential mortgage-related securities.

Subordinated Securities. Subordinated Securities, including those issued or sponsored by commercial banks, savings and loan institutions, mortgage bankers, private mortgage insurance companies and other non-governmental issuers, have no governmental guarantee, and are subordinated in some manner as to the payment of principal and/or interest to the holders of more senior mortgage-related securities arising out of the same pool of mortgages. The holders of Subordinated Securities typically are compensated with a higher stated yield than are the holders of more senior mortgage-related securities. On the other hand, Subordinated Securities typically subject the holder to greater risk than senior mortgage-related securities and tend to be rated in a lower rating category, and frequently a substantially lower rating category, than the senior mortgage-related securities issued in respect of the same pool of mortgages. Subordinated Securities generally are likely to be more sensitive to changes in prepayment and interest rates and the market for such securities may be less liquid than is the case for traditional fixed-income securities and senior mortgage-related securities.

CMOs and Multi-Class Pass-Through Securities. CMOs are multi-class bonds backed by pools of mortgage pass-through certificates ytm [tom)1(ater thanas deaEtonE Ty pool 792 cmby commerci)1(al banks,)]TJ 1 0 0 -1 0 21.60000038 Q(a) GNO

underlying mortgage assets experience less than anticipated prepayments of principal, the yield on POs could be materially and adversely affected.

Private Entity Securities. Mortgage-related securities may be issued by commercial banks, savings and loan institutions, mortgage bankers, private mortgage insurance companies and other non-governmental issuers. Timely payment of principal and interest on mortgage-related securities backed by pools created by non-governmental issuers often is supported partially by various forms of insurance or guarantees, including individual loan, title, pool and hazard insurance. The insurance and guarantees are issued by government entities, private insurers and the mortgage poolers. There can be no assurance that the private insurers or mortgage poolers can meet their obligations under the policies, so that if the issuers default on their obligations the holders of the security could sustain a loss. No insurance or guarantee covers the Portfolio or the price of the Portfolio's shares. Mortgage-related securities issued by non-governmental issuers generally offer a higher rate of interest than government-agency and government-related securities because there are no direct or indirect government guarantees of payment.

CMO Residuals. CMO residuals are derivative mortgage securities issued by agencies or instrumentalities of the US government or by private originators of, or investors in, mortgage loans, including savings and loan associations, mortgage banks, commercial banks, investment banks and special purpose subsidiaries of the foregoing ("CMO Residuals").

The cash flow generated by the mortgage assets underlying series of CMOs is applied first to make required payments of principal of and interest on the CMOs and second to pay the related administrative expenses of the issuer. The residual in a CMO structure generally represents the interest in any excess cash flow remaining after making the foregoing payments. Each payment of such excess cash flow to a holder of the related CMO Residual represents dividend or interest income and/or a return of capital. The amount of residual cash flow resulting from a CMO will depend on, among other things, the characteristics of the mortgage assets, the coupon rate of each class of CMOs, prevailing interest rates, the amount of administrative expenses and the prepayment experience on the mortgage assets. In particular, the yield to maturity on CMO Residuals is extremely sensitive to prepayments on the related underlying mortgage assets in the same manner as an IO class of stripped mortgage-back securities. See "Stripped Mortgage-Backed Securities" above. In addition, if a series of a CMO includes a class that bears interest at an adjustable rate, the yield to maturity on the related CMO residual will also be extremely sensitive to the level of the index upon which interest rate adjustments are based. As described above with respect to stripped mortgage-back securities, in certain circumstances, the Portfolio may fail to fully recoup its initial investment in a CMO Residual.

CMO Residuals generally are purchased and sold by institutional investors through several investment banking firms acting as brokers or dealers. CMO Residuals may not have the liquidity of other more established securities trading in other markets. Transactions in CMO Residuals are generally completed only after careful review of the characteristics of the securities in question. In addition, whether or not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), CMO Residuals may be subject to certain restrictions of transferability.

The securitization techniques used for asset-backed securities are similar to those used for mortgage-related securities, including the issuance of securities in senior and subordinated classes (see "Mortgage-Related Securities—Commercial Mortgage-Related Securities" and "—Subordinated Securities" above). These securities include debt securities and securities with debt-like characteristics. The collateral for these securities has included home equity loans, automobile and credit card receivables, boat loans, computer leases, airplane leases, mobile home loans, recreational vehicle loans and hospital account receivables. Other types of asset-backed securities may be developed in the future. The purchase of non-mortgage asset-backed securities raises considerations particular to the financing of the instruments underlying such securities.

Asset-backed securities present certain risks of mortgage-backed securities, such as prepayment risk, as well as risks that are not presented by mortgage-backed securities. Primarily, these securities may provide a less effective security interest in the related collateral than do mortgage-backed securities. Therefore, there is the possibility that recoveries on the underlying collateral may not, in some cases, be available to support payments on these securities.

Credit card receivables are generally unsecured and the debtors are entitled to the protection of a number of state and federal consumer credit laws, many of which give such debtors the right to set off certain amounts owed on the credit cards, thereby reducing the balance due. Most organizations that issue asset-backed securities relating to motor vehicle installment purchase obligations perfect their interests in their respective obligations only by filing a financing statement and by having the servicer of the obligations, which is usually the originator, take custody thereof. In such circumstances, if the servicer were to sell the same obligations to another party, in violation of its duty not to so do, there is a risk that such party could acquire an interest in the obligations superior to that of the holders of the securities. Also, although most such obligations grant a security interest in the motor vehicle being financed, in most states the security interest in a motor vehicle must be noted on the certificate of title to perfect such security interest against competing claims of other parties. Due to the large number of vehicles involved, however, the certificate of title to each vehicle financed, pursuant to the obligations underlying the securities, usually is not amended to reflect the assignment of the seller's security interest for the benefit of the holders of the securities. Therefore, there is the possibility that recoveries on repossessed collateral may not, in some cases, be available to support payments on those securities. In addition, various state and federal laws give the motor vehicle owner the right to assert against the holder of the owner's obligation certain defenses such owner would have against the seller of the motor vehicle. The assertion of such defenses could reduce payments on the related securities.

<u>Municipal Securities</u>. US municipal securities, the interest on which is, in the opinion of the issuer's counsel at the time of issuance, exempt from regular federal income tax ("Municipal Securities"), are debt obligations issued by states, territories and possessions of the United States and the District of Columbia and their political subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities, or multi-state agencies or authorities, to obtain funds for various public purposes, and include certain industrial development bonds issued by or on behalf of public authorities. Municipal Securities are classified as general obligation bonds, revenue bonds and notes. General obligation bonds are secured by the issuer's pledge of its full faith, credit and taxing power for the payment of principal and interest. Revenue bonds are

cash until the cash payment date unless a portion of such securities are sold and, if the issuer defaults, the Portfolio may obtain no return at all on its investment. Federal income tax law requires the holder of a zero coupon security or of certain pay-in-kind or step up bonds to accrue income with respect to these securities prior to the receipt of cash payments. To maintain its qualification as a RIC and avoid liability for federal income taxes, a Portfolio may be required to distribute such income accrued with respect to these securities and may have to dispose of portfolio securities under disadvantageous circumstances in order to generate cash to satisfy these distribution requirements.

<u>Inflation-Indexed Securities</u>. Inflation-indexed securities are indexed to inflation so that principal and interest payments rise and fall with the rate of inflation. Two structures are common. Treasury and some other issuers utilize a structure that accrues inflation into the principal value of the bond, which has the effect of changing the interest amount paid. Other issuers pay out inflation-indexed accruals as part of a semi-annual coupon.

The periodic adjustment of Treasury Inflation Protected Securities ("TIPS") is tied to the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (the "CPI-U"), which is calculated monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the US Department of Labor and measures the changes in the price of a basket of goods and services purchased by urban consumers. Inflation-indexed securities issued by a foreign government are generally adjusted to reflect a comparable inflation index calculated by that government. There can be no assurance that the CPI-U or any other inflation index will accurately measure the real rate of inflation in the prices of goods and services. Moreover, there can be no assurance that the rate of inflation in a foreign country will be correlated to the rate of inflation in the United States.

Treasury has guaranteed that, in the event of a drop in prices, TIPS would repay the adjusted principal or the original principal, whichever is greater, so that investors will not receive less than the originally invested principal. However, the current market value of TIPS is not guaranteed and will fluctuate. Inflation-indexed securities issued by corporations generally do not guarantee repayment of principal.

The value of inflation-indexed securities is expected to change in response to changes in real interest rates. Real interest rates in turn are tied to the relationship between nominal interest rates and the rate of inflation. Therefore, if the rate of inflation rises at a faster rate than nominal interest rates, real interest rates might decline, leading to an increase in value of inflation-indexed securities. In contrast, if nominal interest rates increase at a faster rate than inflation, real interest rates might rise, leading to a decrease in value of inflation-indexed securities. Any increase in the principal amount of an inflation-indexed security generally will be considered taxable ordinary income, even though investors do not receive their principal until maturity. While these securities are expected to be protected from long-term inflationary trends, short-term increases in inflation may lead to a decline in value. If interest rates rise due to reasons other than inflation (for example, due to changes in currency exchange rates), investors in these securities may not be protected to the extent that the increase is not reflected in the security's inflation measure. In addition, because inflation-indexed securities are intended to provide protection from inflation, they generally have lower expected returns.

Non-US Securities

Non-US securities include the securities of companies organized under the laws of countries other than the United States and those issued or guaranteed by governments other than the US government or by foreign supranational entities. They also include securities of companies whose principal trading market is in a country other than the United States or of companies (including those that are located in the United States or organized under US law) that derive a significant portion of their revenue or profits from foreign businesses, investments or sales, or that have a majority of their assets outside the United States. They may be traded on foreign securities exchanges or in the foreign over-the-counter markets. Supranational entities include international organizations designated or supported by governmental entities to promote economic reconstruction or development and international banking institutions and related government agencies. Examples include the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the World Bank), the European Coal and Steel Community, the Asian Development Bank and the InterAmerican Development Bank.

Investing in the securities of foreign issuers, as well as instruments that provide investment exposure to foreign securities and markets, involves risks that are not typically associated with investing in US dollar-denominated securities of domestic issuers. Investments in foreign issuers may be affected by changes in currency rates (*i.e.*, affecting the value of assets as measured in US dollars), changes in foreign or US laws or restrictions applicable to

such investments and in exchange control regulations (*e.g.*, currency blockage). A decline in the exchange rate of the currency (*i.e.*, weakening of the currency against the US dollar) in which a portfolio security is quoted or denominated relative to the US dollar would reduce the value of the portfolio security. A change in the value of such foreign currency against the US dollar also will result in a change in the amount of income available for distribution. If a portion of a Portfolio's investment income may be received in foreign currencies, the Portfolio will

In addition, from time to time, certain of the companies in which a Portfolio may invest may engage in, or have dealings with countries or companies that engage in, activities that may not be considered socially and/or environmentally responsible. As a result, a company may suffer damage to its reputation if it is identified as a company which engages in, or has dealings with countries or companies that engage in, the above referenced activities. As an investor in such companies, a Portfolio would be indirectly subject to those risks.

Because evidences of ownership of such securities usually are held outside the United States, a Portfolio will be subject to additional risks which include possible adverse political and economic developments, seizure or nationalization of foreign deposits and adoption of governmental restrictions, which might adversely affect or restrict the payment of principal and interest on the foreign securities to investors located outside the country of the issuer, whether from currency blockage, exchange control regulation or otherwise. Moreover, foreign securities held by a Portfolio may trade on days when the Portfolio does not calculate its net asset value and thus affect the **Pollations Ungrass quadibition (days dysless instaytors** have no access to the Portfolio. Because foreign securities often are purchased with and payable in currencies of foreign countries, the value of these assets as measured in US dollars may be affected favorablyon ddditiounblyon dde affey contrarrenciese affrf fobe a Poconom reation oe a ots.ET q 1 0 Q 0 1 0

potentially lower economic growth. It is not possible to ascertain the precise impact these events may have on a Portfolio or its investments from an economic, financial, tax or regulatory perspective but any such impact could have material consequences for the Portfolios and their investments.

Whether or not a Portfolio invests in securities of issuers located in Europe or has significant exposure to European issuers or countries, these events could negatively affect the value and liquidity of the Portfolio's investment.

Investing in Japan. Over the last few decades, Japan's economic growth rate had remained relatively low compared to that of its Asian neighbors and other major developed economies mainly due to deflation. The economy is characterized by an aging demographic, a declining population, a large government debt and a highly regulated labor market. Monetary and fiscal policies designed to stimulate economic growth in Japan have had limited success in the past prior to the current government. Overseas trade is important to Japan's economy, although exports as a percentage of Japan's gross domestic product is lower than other Asian countries and most developed countries. Japan has few natural resources and limited land area and is reliant on imports for its commodity needs. Fluctuations or shortages in relevant commodity markets could have a negative impact on Japan's economy. The Japanese economy also can be adversely affected by trade tariffs, other protectionist measures, competition from emerging economies, and the economic conditions of its trading partners. Furthermore, the Japanese economic growth rate could be impacted by Bank of Japan monetary policies, rising interest rates, tax increases, budget deficits, consumer confidence and volatility in the Japanese yen. Japan has previously had a growing economic relationship with China and other Southeast Asian countries, and economic, political or social instability in those countries, whether resulting from country, regional or global events, could have an adverse effect on Japan's economy. For instance, strained relations with neighboring countries (China, South Korea, North Korea and Russia) may negatively impact the Japanese economy, the geographic region and the global economy. The specific risks of investing in Japan, certain of which are summarized in this section, could, individually or in the aggregate, adversely impact investments in Japan.

Labor Market. Japan's labor market, affected by the aging and shrinking population, appears to be undergoing fundamental structural changes. The changing population has increased the cost of Japan's pension and public welfare system. Japan's labor market, which traditionally preferred lifetime employment, also has sought to adjust to meet the need for increased labor mobility. Issues in Japan's labor market may, among other consequences, adversely affect Japan's economic competitiveness.

Currency Fluctuations. The Japanese yen has fluctuated widely at times, and any material increase in its value may cause a decline in exports that could weaken the Japanese economy. The Japanese government has, in the past, intervened in the currency markets to attempt to maintain or reduce the value of the yen. Japan's intervention in the currency markets could cause the value of the yen to fluctuate dramatically and unpredictably. A decline in value of the yen relative to the US dollar will affect the value of these investments held by a Portfolio.

Natural Disasters. Japan has experienced natural disasters, such as earthquakes and tidal waves, of varying degrees of severity. The risks of such phenomena, and the resulting damage, continue to exist and could have a severe and negative impact on a Portfolio's holdings in Japanese securities. Japan also has one of the world's highest population densities, with a significant percentage of its total population concentrated in the metropolitan areas of Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya. As a result, a natural disaster centered in or very near one of these cities could have a particularly devastating effect on Japan's financial markets. For example, Japan suffered economic distress from the

may make it difficult or impossible for a Portfolio to vote proxies, exercise shareholder rights, pursue legal remedies, and obtain judgments in foreign courts; the risk of uninsured loss due to lost, stolen, or counterfeit stock certificates; possible losses through the holding of securities in domestic and foreign custodial banks and depositories; heightened opportunities for governmental corruption; large amounts of foreign debt to finance basic governmental duties that could lead to restructuring or default; and heavy reliance on exports that may be severely affected by global economic downturns.

The purchase and sale of portfolio securities in certain emerging market countries may be constrained by limitations as to daily changes in the prices of listed securities, periodic trading or settlement volume and/or limitations on aggregate holdings of foreign investors. In certain cases, such limitations may be computed based upon the aggregate trading by or holdings of a Portfolio, its Investment Manager and its affiliates and their respective clients and other service providers. A Portfolio may not be able to sell securities in circumstances where price, trading or settlement volume limitations have been reached.

Economic conditions, such as volatile currency exchange rates and interest rates, political events and other conditions may, without prior warning, lead to government intervention and the imposition of "capital controls." Countries use these controls to restrict volatile movements of capital entering (inflows) and exiting (outflows) their country to respond to certain economic conditions. Such controls are mainly applied to short-term capital transactions to counter speculative flows that threaten to undermine the stability of the exchange rate and deplete foreign exchange reserves. Capital controls include the prohibition of, or restrictions on, the ability to transfer currency, securities or other assets in such a way that may adversely affect the ability of a Portfolio to repatriate their income and capital. These limitations may have a negative impact on the Portfolio's performance and may adversely affect the liquidity of the Portfolio's investment to the extent that it invests in certain emerging market countries. Some emerging market countries may have fixed or managed currencies which are not free-floating against the US dollar. Further, certain emerging market countries' currencies may not be internationally traded. Certain of these currencies have experienced a steady devaluation relative to the US dollar. If a Portfolio does not hedge the US dollar value of securities it owns denominated in currencies that are devalued, the Portfolio's NAV will be adversely affected. In addition, some countries in which a Portfolio may invest have experienced substantial, and in some periods, extremely high rates of inflation for many years. Inflation and rapid fluctuations in inflation rates have had, and may continue to have, adverse effects on the economies and securities markets of certain of these countries. Further, the economies of emerging market countries generally are heavily dependent upon international trade and, accordingly, have been and may continue to be adversely affected by trade barriers, exchange controls, managed adjustments in relative currency values and other protectionist measures imposed or negotiated by the countries with which they trade.

Frontier Markets. Certain companies are organized or have their principal place of business, or majority of assets or business, in pre-emerging markets, also known as frontier markets. The risks associated with investments in frontier market countries include all the risks described above for investments in foreign securities and emerging markets, although the risks are magnified for frontier market countries. Because frontier markets are among the smallest, least mature and least liquid of the emerging markets, investments in frontier markets generally are subject to a greater risk of loss than investments in developed markets or traditional emerging markets. Frontier market countries have smaller economies, less developed capital markets, more political and economic instability, weaker legal, financial accounting and regulatory infrastructure, and more governmental limitations on foreign investments than typically found in more developed countries, and frontier markets typically have greater market volatility, lower trading volume, lower capital flow, less investor participation, fewer large global companies and greater risk of a market shutdown than more developed markets. Frontier markets are more prone to economic shocks associated with political and economic risks than are emerging markets generally. Many frontier market countries may be dependent on commodities, foreign trade or foreign aid.

Other than for the purpose of a Portfolio's policy with respect to the investment of 80% of its assets, the Portfolios consider emerging market countries to include all countries represented by the Morgan Stanley Capital International ("MSCI®") Emerging Markets Index and other countries not considered developed countries by MSCI, and investments in emerging markets may include those companies included in the MSCI Emerging Markets Index and companies with their principal business activities located in, or that have 50% or more of their assets in or revenue or net income from, emerging market countries. The MSCI Emerging Markets Index currently includes the following countries: Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Czech Republic, Egypt, Greece, Hungary, India, Indonesia,

Korea, Kuwait, Malaysia, Mexico, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey and United Arab Emirates.

For the purpose of a Portfolio's policy with respect to the investment of 80% of its assets, with respect to derivative instruments, the Investment Manager generally considers such instruments to be economically tied to emerging market countries if the underlying assets are currencies of emerging market countries (or baskets or indexes of such currencies), or instruments or securities that are issued or guaranteed by governments of emerging market countries or by entities organized under the laws of emerging market countries.

Investing in China. Investments in Chinese securities, including certain Hong Kong-listed securities, subject a Portfolio to risks specific to China. Specific risks associated with investments in China include exposure to currency fluctuations, less liquidity, expropriation, confiscatory taxation, nationalization, exchange control regulations (including currency blockage), trading halts, imposition of tariffs, limitations on repatriation and differing legal standards. Recent developments in relations between the United States and China have heightened concerns of increased tariffs and restrictions on trade between the two countries. It is unclear whether further tariffs and sanctions may be imposed or other escalating actions may be taken in the future, which could negatively affect a Portfolio. Additionally, the downturn in the economy of a significant trading partner could adversely impact Chinese companies, resulting in an adverse impact on a Portfolio.

Over the last few decades, the Chinese government has undertaken reform of economic and market practices and has expanded the sphere of private ownership of property in China. However, Chinese markets generally continue to experience inefficiency, volatility and pricing anomalies resulting from governmental influence, a lack of publicly available information and/or political and social instability. Internal social unrest or confrontations with other countries, including military conflicts in response to such events, may also disrupt economic development in China and result in a greater risk of currency fluctuations, currency non-convertibility, interest rate fluctuations and higher rates of inflation. In addition, the government of the China exercises significant control over economic growth through direct and heavy involvement in resource allocation and monetary policy, control over payment of foreign currency denominated obligations and provision of preferential treatment to particular industries and/or companies. Economic reform programs in China have contributed to growth, but there is no guarantee that 1(tioblt in re)1(snf r(e))1(rty in (ic and the contributed to growth) and provision of preferential treatment to particular industries and/or replacements.

a China A-Shares issue is recalled from the scope of securities eligible for trading through Stock Connect, a	

and gains from investments in Stock Connect China A-shares could result in unexpected tax liabilities for the Portfolio.

Bond Connect. Chinese debt instruments trade on the China Interbank Bond Market ("CIBM") and may be purchased through a market access program that is designed to, among other things, enable foreign investment in the PRC ("Bond Connect"). There are significant risks inherent in investing in Chinese debt instruments, similar to the risks of other fixed-income securities markets in emerging markets. The prices of debt instruments traded on the CIBM may fluctuate significantly due to low trading volume and potential lack of liquidity. The rules to access debt instruments that trade on the CIBM through Bond Connect are relatively new and subject to change, which may adversely affect a Portfolio's ability to invest in these instruments and to enforce its rights as a beneficial owner of these instruments. Trading through Bond Connect is subject to a number of restrictions that may affect a Portfolio's investments and returns.

Investments made through Bond Connect are subject to order, clearance and settlement procedures that are relatively untested in China, which could pose risks to a Portfolio. CIBM does not support all trading strategies (such as short selling). Investments in Chinese debt instruments that trade on the CIBM are subject to the risks of suspension of trading without cause or notice, trade failure or trade rejection and default of securities depositories and counterparties. Furthermore, Chinese debt instruments purchased via Bond Connect will be held via a book entry omnibus account in the name of the Hong Kong Monetary Authority Central Money Markets Unit ("CMU") maintained with a China-based depository (either the China Central Depository & Clearing Co. ("CDCC") or the Shanghai Clearing House ("SCH")). A Portfolio's ownership interest in these Chinese debt instruments will not be reflected directly in book entry with CSDCC or SCH and will instead only be reflected on the books of a Portfolio's Hong Kong sub-custodian. Therefore, a Portfolio's ability to enforce its rights as a bondholder may depend on CMU's ability or willingness as record-holder of the bonds to enforce the Portfolio's rights as a bondholder. Additionally, the omnibus manner in which Chinese debt instruments are held could expose a Portfolio to the credit risk of the relevant securities depositories and a Portfolio's Hong Kong sub-custodian. While a Portfolio holds a beneficial interest in the instruments it acquires through Bond Connect, the mechanisms that beneficial owners may use to enforce their rights are untested. In addition, courts in China have limited experience in applying the concept of beneficial ownership. Moreover, Chinese debt instruments acquired through Bond Connect generally may not be sold, purchased or otherwise transferred other than through Bond Connect in accordance with applicable rules.

A Portfolio's investments in Chinese debt instruments acquired through Bond Connect are generally subject to a number of regulations and restrictions, including Chinese securities regulations and listing rules, loss recovery limitations and disclosure of interest reporting obligations. A Portfolio will not benefit from access to Hong Kong investor compensation funds, which are set up to protect against defaults of trades, when investing through Bond Connect. Bond Connect can only operate when both China and Hong Kong markets are open for trading and when banking services are available in both markets on the corresponding settlement days. The rules applicable to taxation of Chinese debt instruments acquired through Bond Connect remain subject to further clarification. Uncertainties in the Chinese tax rules governing taxation of income and gains from investments via Bond Connect could result in unexpected tax liabilities for a Portfolio, which may negatively affect investment returns. Furthermore, market volatility and potential lack of liquidity due to low trading volume of certain debt securities in the China interbank bond market may result in prices of certain debt securities traded on such market fluctuating significantly, resulting in a Portfolio being subject to liquidity and volatility risks. The bid and offer spreads of the prices of such securities may be large and a Portfolio could incur significant trading and realization costs.

Since the inception of Bond Connect, foreign investors investing in Chinese debt instruments through the Bond Connect have been temporarily exempt from Chinese corporate income tax and value-added tax on interest income derived since November 7, 2018. Interest income is subject to Chinese corporate income tax on a withholding basis at 10% unless reduced under a double tax treaty with China upon application to and obtaining approval from the competent tax authority. Additionally, uncertainties in permanent Chinese tax rules governing taxation of income and gains from investments via the Bond Connect could result in unexpected tax liabilities for the Portfolio.

Investments in CCMC Securities. On November 12, 2020, the President of the United States issued an Executive Order (the "Order") to prohibit, beginning January 11, 2021, US persons (which includes the Portfolios) from transacting in certain securities and derivatives of publicly traded securities of any of 31 companies designated as a "Communist Chinese military company" (a "CCMC" and such securities collectively with securities of certain

subsidiaries of such companies and related depositary receipts that may be covered by the Order, "CCMC Securities") by the US Department of Defense (the "DOD") or OFAC. In the weeks following the issuance of the Order, the DOD designated an additional 13 companies as CCMCs, bringing the current total to 44 companies designated to date. Also subsequent to issuance of the Order, OFAC extended the effective date of the trading ban several times for publicly-traded securities of companies with a name that "closely matches the name" of a designated CCMC but that have not been designated as CCMC Securities. In addition, US persons also are prohibited from transacting in newly-designated CCMC Securities 60 days after such designation. As clarified by an amendment to the Order dated January 13, 2021, and subsequent guidance from OFAC, US persons may divest their holdings in the 31 initially-designated CCMCs at any time through November 11, 2021 (and have 365 days from date of designation to divest their holdings in other CCMCs).

OFAC subsequently published, on several occasions, guidance regarding compliance with the Order, including several "Frequently Asked Questions" (FAQs)-style publications addressing the scope of, and interpretive matters regarding, compliance with the Order, as well as the Order's application to US funds that hold CCMC Securities (i.e., including mutual funds that hold CCMC Securities regardless of the size of the position relative to a fund's total assets). Certain interpretive issues related to compliance with the Order remain open, including to what extent a US person could be held liable for failing to identify an unlisted entity whose name "close matches the name" of an entity designated as a CCMC.

A Portfolio's holdings in CCMC Securities may adversely impact the Portfolio's performance. The extent of any impact will depend on future developments, including the Portfolio's ability to sell the CCMC Securities, valuation of the CCMC Securities, modifications to the Order, the issuance of additional or different interpretive guidance regarding compliance with the Order, and the duration of the Order, all of which are highly uncertain.

Investing in Russia and other Eastern European Countries. Many formerly communist, eastern European countries have experienced significant political and economic reform over the past decade. However, the democratization process is still relatively new in a number of the smaller states and political turmoil and popular uprisings remain threats. Investments in these countries are particularly subject to political, economic, legal, market and currency risks. The risks include uncertain political and economic policies and the risk of nationalization or expropriation of assets, short-term market volatility, poor accounting standards, corruption and crime, an inadequate regulatory system, unpredictable taxation and the imposition of capital controls and/or foreign investment limitations by a country and the imposition of sanctions on an Eastern European country by other countries, such as the US. Adverse currency exchange rates are a risk and there is a lack of available currency hedging instruments.

These securities markets, as compared to US markets, have significant price volatility, less liquidity, a smaller (n)rk(a) k(qristalizatione giuth ann)dl(effecth beonote)x(hgnge-thradeRhssiculistiexonAyhinintpelaybvihyledefperatingtionyep-Bould(thion of)]TJ 1 0 0 -1 difficulty in obtaining accurate prices and trading. There is little publicly available information about issuers. Settlement, clearing and registration of securities transactions are subject to risks because of insufficient registration systems that may not be subject to effective government supervision. This may result in significant delays or p(tohlepar(icnd))ik(exoft) the hirards femble knows y 24 is possible ellian advisationic wownership rights could be lost through found are (s) Hgarkes r NAPaile knows the interior on registrars for lossed in sufficient plate () TIIJ11000-4100105.

impact a Portfolio's investments in Russian securities. Sanctions could result in the immediate freeze of Russian securities, impairing the ability of a Portfolio to buy, sell, receive or deliver those securities. Sanctions and other measures have resulted in defaults on debt obligations by some corporate issuers and the Russian Federation, which could lead to cross-defaults of other obligations of these issuers or could impact credit default swaps relating to the Russian Federation. Sanctions may restrict a Portfolio's ability to participate in any resulting credit default swap auction or physical settlement process. Both the current and potential future sanctions or other government actions against Russia also could result in Russia taking counter measures or retaliatory actions, which may impair further the value or liquidity of Russian securities and negatively impact a Portfolio. Additionally, disruptions caused by Russian military action or other actions (including cyberattacks and espionage) or resulting actual and threatened responses to such activities, including purchasing and financing restrictions, boycotts or changes in consumer or purchaser preferences, sanctions, tariffs or cyberattacks on the Russian government, companies or individuals, including politicians, may impact Russia's economy and Russian issuers of securities in which a Portfolio invests. Any or all of these potential results could lead Russia's economy into a recession.

Investing in Central and South America. Securities markets in Central and South American countries may experience greater volatility than in other emerging countries. In addition, a number of Central and South American countries are among the largest emerging country debtors. There have been moratoria on, and reschedulings of, repayment with respect to these debts. Such events can restrict the flexibility of these debtor nations in the international markets and result in the imposition of onerous conditions on their economies.

Many of the currencies of Central and South American countries have experienced steady devaluation relative to the US dollar, and major devaluations have historically occurred in certain countries. Any devaluations in the currencies in which a Portfolio's portfolio securities are denominated may have a detrimental impact on the Portfolio. There is also a risk that certain Central and South American countries may restrict the free conversion of their currencies into other currencies. Some Central and South American countries may have managed currencies which are not free floating against the US dollar. This type of system can lead to sudden and large adjustments in the currency that, in turn, can have a disruptive and negative effect on foreign investors. Certain Central and South American currencies may not be internationally traded and it would be difficult for a Portfolio to engage in foreign currency transactions designed to protect the value of the Portfolio's interests in securities denominated in such currencies.

The emergence of the Central and South American economies and securities markets will require continued economic and fiscal discipline that has been lacking at times in the past, as well as stable political and social conditions. Governments of many Central and South American countries have exercised and continue to exercise substantial influence over many aspects of the private sector. The political history of certain Central and South American countries has been characterized by political uncertainty, intervention by the military in civilian and economic spheres and political corruption. Such developments, if they were to recur, could reverse favorable trends toward market and economic reform, privatization and removal of trade barriers.

International economic conditions, particularly those in the US, as well as world prices for oil and other commodities may also influence the recovery of the Central and South American economies. Because commodities such as oil, gas, minerals and metals represent a significant percentage of the region's exports, the economies of Central and South American countries are particularly sensitive to fluctuations in commodity prices. As a result, the economies in many of these countries can experience significant volatility.

Certain Central and South American countries have entered into regional trade agreements that would, among other things, reduce barriers among countries, increase competition among companies and reduce government subsidies in certain industries. No assurance can be given that these changes will result in the economic stability intended. There is a possibility that these trade arrangements will not be implemented, will be implemented but not completed or will be completed but then partially or completely unwound. It is also possible that a significant participant could choose to abandon a trade agreement, which could diminish its credibility and influence. Any of these occurrences could have adverse effects on the markets of both participating and non-participating countries, including share appreciation or depreciation of participant's national currencies and a significant increase in exchange rate volatility, a resurgence in economic protectionism, an undermining of confidence in the Central and South American markets, an undermining of Central and South American economic stability, the collapse or slowdown of the drive toward Central and South American economic unity, and/or reversion of the attempts to lower government debt and inflation rates that were introduced in anticipation of such trade agreements. Such developments could have an

adverse impact on a Portfolio's investments in Central and South America generally or in specific countries participating in such trade agreements.

Investing in the Middle East. The aftermath of the war in Iraq, instability and armed conflict in Afghanistan, Egypt, Israel, Libya, Pakistan, Syria and other countries in the Middle East may result in market volatility in those countries, may have long-term effects on worldwide financial markets and may cause further economic uncertainties worldwide. The wars and occupation, terrorism and related geopolitical risks have led to increased market volatility and may have adverse long-term effects on economies and markets located in the region and on world economies and markets generally. These events also could have a material adverse effect on individual issuers or related groups of issuers located in or doing substantial business with countries in the Middle East and also could adversely affect securities markets, interest rates, liquidity, credit risk, inflation, deflation and other factors affecting a Portfolio's investments in the Middle East.

<u>Depositary Receipts</u>. Securities of foreign issuers in the form of American Depositary Receipts and American Depositary Shares (collectively, "ADRs"), European Depositary Receipts and European Depositary Shares (collectively, "EDRs"), Global Depositary Receipts and Global Depositary Shares (collectively, "GDRs") and other forms of depositary receipts may not necessarily be denominated in the same currency as the securities into which they may be converted. ADRs are receipts typically issued by a United States bank or trust company which

A sovereign debtor's willingness or ability to repay principal and pay interest in a timely manner may be affected by, among other factors, its cash flow situation, the extent of its foreign currency reserves, the availability of sufficient foreign exchange, the relative size of the debt service burden, the sovereign debtor's policy toward principal international lenders and local political constraints. Sovereign debtors may also be dependent on expected disbursements from foreign governments, multilateral agencies and other entities to reduce principal and interest arrearages on their debt. The failure of a sovereign debtor to implement economic reforms, achieve specified levels of economic performance or repay principal or interest when due may result in the cancellation of third party commitments to lend funds to the sovereign debtor, which may further impair such debtor's ability or willingness to service its debts.

Moreover, no established secondary markets may exist for many of the sovereign debt obligations in which a Portfolio may invest. Reduced secondary market liquidity may have an adverse effect on the market price and a Portfolio's ability to dispose of particular instruments when necessary to meet its liquidity requirements or in response to specific economic events such as a deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuer. Reduced secondary market liquidity for certain sovereign debt obligations also may make it more difficult for a Portfolio to obtain accurate market quotations for purposes of valuing its portfolio. Market quotations are generally available on many sovereign debt obligations only from a limited number of dealers and may not necessarily represent firm bids of those dealers or prices of actual sales.

Sovereign Debt Obligations of Emerging Market Countries. Investing in foreign government obligations and the sovereign debt of emerging market countries creates exposure to the direct or indirect consequences of political, social or economic changes in the countries that issue the securities or in which the issuers are located. The ability and willingness of sovereign obligors in emerging market countries or the governmental authorities that control repayment of their external debt to pay principal and interest on such debt when due may depend on general economic and political conditions within the relevant country. Certain countries in which a Portfolio may invest have historically experienced, and may continue to experience, high rates of inflation, high interest rates, exchange rate trade difficulties and extreme poverty and unemployment. Many of these countries also are characterized by political uncertainty or instability. Additional factors which may influence the ability or willingness to service debt include a country's cash flow situation, the availability of sufficient foreign exchange on the date a payment is due, the relative size of its debt service burden to the economy as a whole and its government's policy towards the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank and other international agencies. The ability of a foreign sovereign obligor to make timely payments on its external debt obligations also will be strongly influenced by the obligor's balance of payments, including export performance, its access to international credits and investments, fluctuations in interest rates and the extent of its foreign reserves. A governmental obligor may default on its obligations. If such an event occurs, a Portfolio may have limited legal recourse against the issuer and/or guarantor. In some cases, remedies must be pursued in the courts of the defaulting party itself, and the ability of the holder of foreign sovereign debt securities to obtain recourse may be subject to the political climate in the relevant country. In addition, no assurance can be given that the holders of commercial bank debt will not contest payments to the holders of other foreign sovereign debt obligations in the event of default under their commercial bank loan agreements. Sovereign obligors in emerging market countries are among the world's largest debtors to commercial banks, other governments, international financial organizations and other financial institutions. These obligors, in the past, have experienced substantial difficulties in servicing their external debt obligations, which led to defaults on certain obligations and the restructuring of certain indebtedness. Restructuring arrangements have included, among other things, reducing and rescheduling interest and principal payments by negotiating new or amended credit agreements or converting outstanding principal and unpaid interest to "Brady Bonds" (securities created through the exchange of existing commercial bank loans to public and private entities in certain emerging markets for new bonds in connection with debt restructuring), and obtaining new credit to finance interest payments. Holders of certain foreign sovereign debt securities may be requested to participate in the restructuring of such obligations and to extend further loans to their issuers. There can be no assurance that the Brady Bonds and other foreign sovereign debt securities in which a Portfolio may invest will not be subject to similar restructuring arrangements or to requests for new credit which may adversely affect the Portfolio's holdings. Obligations of the World Bank and certain other supranational organizations are supported by subscribed but unpaid commitments of member countries. There is no assurance that these commitments will be undertaken or complied with in the future.

Eurodollar and Yankee Dollar Investments. Eurodollar instruments are bonds of foreign corporate and government issuers that pay interest and principal in US dollars generally held in banks outside the United States, primarily in Europe. Yankee Dollar instruments are US dollar-denominated bonds typically issued in the United States by foreign governments and their agencies and foreign banks and corporations. Eurodollar certificates of deposit are US dollar-denominated certificates of deposit issued by foreign branches of domestic banks; Eurodollar time deposits are US dollar-denominated deposits in a foreign branch of a US bank or in a foreign bank; and Yankee certificates of deposit are US dollar-denominated certificates of deposit issued by a US branch of a foreign bank and held in the United States. These investments involve risks that are different from investments in securities issued by US issuers, including potential unfavorable political and economic developments, foreign withholding or other taxes, seizure of foreign deposits, currency controls, interest limitations or other governmental restrictions which might affect payment of principal or interest.

Investments in Specific Market Sectors

Infrastructure. Securities and instruments of infrastructure companies are more susceptible to adverse economic or regulatory occurrences affecting their industries. Infrastructure companies may be subject to a variety of factors that may adversely affect their business or operations, including high interest costs in connection with capital construction programs, high leverage, costs associated with environmental and other regulations, the effects of an economic slowdown, surplus capacity, increased competition from other providers of services, uncertainties concerning the availability of fuel at reasonable prices, the effects of energy conserval 0 45.596lities and fther1(r iactors IJTJ 1 0 0 -1

Other factors that may affect the operations of infrastructure companies include changes in technology that could render the way in which a company delivers a product or service obsolete, significant changes to the number of ultimate end-users of a company's products, increased susceptibility to terrorist acts or political actions, and risks of environmental damage due to a company's operations or an accident.

<u>Utilities</u>. Utility companies are subject to a variety of risk factors that may adversely affect their business or operations, including: high interest costs in connection with capital construction and improvement programs; difficulty in raising capital in adequate amounts on reasonable terms in periods of high inflation and unsettled capital markets; governmental regulation of rates charged to customers; costs associated with the reduced availability of certain types of fuel, occasionally reduced availability and high costs of natural gas for resale, and the effects of energy conservation policies; and inexperience with and potential losses resulting from a developing deregulatory environment.

Real Estate, Including REITs. Risks of investing in real estate include: declines in the value of real estate; adverse general, regional or local economic conditions; overbuilding and increased competition; increases in property taxes and operating expenses; changes in zoning laws; casualty or condemnation losses; variations in rental income, neighborhood values or the appeal of properties to tenants; and changes in interest rates. Real estate-related companies also may be subject to liabilities under environmental and hazardous waste laws, which could negatively affect their value. Property values may fall due to increasing vacancies or declining rents resulting from economic, legal, cultural or technological developments. The price of real estate investments also may drop because of the failure of borrowers to pay their loans and poor management. Real estate-related companies may be affected by a high level of continuing capital expenditures, competition or increases in operating costs, which may not be offset by increases in revenues. The value and successful operation of certain types of commercial properties may be affected by a number of factors, such as the location of the property, the knowledge and experience of the management team, the level of mortgage rates, presence of competing properties and adverse economic conditions in the locale. Many real estate-related companies use leverage, which increases investment risk and could adversely affect a company's operations and market value in periods of rising interest rates as well as risks normally associated with debt financing, including financial covenants associated with the financing that may affect the ability the company ability to operate effectively. Real estate-related companies may be adversely affected by a lack of available financing or tightening of credit.

Certain companies may carry comprehensive liability, fire, flood, earthquake, extended coverage and rental loss insurance with various policy specifications, limits and deductibles, but uninsured losses would affect profits, cash flows and performance.

REITs. A REIT is a corporation, or a business trust that would otherwise be taxed as a corporation, which meets the definitional requirements of the Code. The Code permits a qualifying REIT to deduct dividends paid, thereby effectively eliminating corporate level federal income tax and making the REIT a pass-through vehicle for federal income tax purposes. To meet the definitional requirements of the Code, a REIT must, among other things, invest substantially all of its assets in interests in real estate (including mortgages and other REITs) or cash and government securities, derive most of its income from rents from real property or interest on loans secured by mortgages on real property, and distribute to shareholders annually a substantial portion of its otherwise taxable income.

REITs are characterized as equity REITs, mortgage REITs and hybrid REITs. Equity REITs, which may include operating or finance companies, own real estate directly and the value of, and income earned by, the REITs depends upon the income of the underlying properties and the rental income they earn. Equity REITs also can realize capital gains (or losses) by selling properties that have appreciated (or depreciated) in value. Mortgage REITs can hold real estate mortgage investment conduits ("REMIC") regular interests and can hold or make construction, development or long-term mortgage loans and are sensitive to the credit quality of the borrower. Mortgage REITs derive their income from interest payments on such loans or REMIC interests. Hybrid REITs combine the characteristics of both equity and mortgage REITs, generally by holding both ownership interests and mortgage interests in real estate. The values of securities issued by REITs are affected by tax and regulatory requirements and by perceptions of management skill. They also are subject to heavy cash flow dependency, defaults by borrowers or tenants, self-liquidation and the possibility of failing to qualify for tax-free status under the Code or to maintain exemption from

the 1940 Act. A Portfolio will indirectly bear its proportionate share of expenses, including management fees, paid by each REIT in which it invests in addition to the expenses of a Portfolio.

A Portfolio's investments in REITs may be adversely affected by deteriorations of the real estate rental market, in the case of REITs that primarily own real estate, or by deteriorations in the creditworthiness of property owners and changes in interest rates in the case of REITs that primarily hold mortgages. Equity and mortgage REITs also are dependent upon specialized management skills, may not be diversified in their holdings and are subject to the risks of financing projects. REITs also may be subject to heavy cash flow dependency, defaults by borrowers and self-liquidation.

REITs are subject to a highly technical and complex set of provisions in the Code. A Portfolio might invest in a real estate company that purports to be a REIT and then the company unexpectedly could fail to qualify as a REIT. In the event of any such failure to qualify as a REIT which is not cured in accordance with applicable savings provisions in the Code, the company would be subject to corporate-level taxation, significantly reducing the return to a Portfolio on the Portfolio's investment in such company. REITs could possibly fail to qualify for tax-free pass-through of income under the Code, or to maintain their exemptions from registration under the 1940 Act. The above enumerated risks may also adversely affect a borrower's or a lessee's ability to meet its obligations to the REIT. If a REIT's borrowers or lessees default, the REIT may experience delays in enforcing its rights as a mortgagee or lessor and may incur substantial costs associated with protecting its investments.

<u>Natural Resources</u>. Natural resources business are affected by several significant factors, including: demand and price fluctuations for the natural resource products; the time and expenses of exploration, acquisition and

there are no incentives that entitle management or other unit holders to increased percentages of cash distributions as distributions reach higher target levels. In addition, LLC common unit holders typically have voting rights with respect to the LLC, whereas MLP common units have limited voting rights. MLP common units and other equity securities can be affected by macroeconomic and other factors affecting the stock market in general, expectations of interest rates, investor sentiment towards MLPs or its business sector, changes in a particular issuer's financial condition, or unfavorable or unanticipated poor performance of a particular issuer (in the case of MLPs, generally measured in terms of distributable cash flow). Prices of common units of individual MLPs and other equity securities can also be affected by fundamentals unique to the partnership or company, including earnings power and coverage ratios.

MLP convertible subordinated units are typically issued by MLPs to founders, corporate general partners of MLPs, entities that sell assets to the MLP, and institutional investors, and may be purchased in direct placements from such persons. The purpose of the convertible subordinated units is to increase the likelihood that during the subordination period there will be available cash to be distributed to common unit holders. Convertible subordinated units generally are not entitled to distributions until holders of common units have received specified minimum quarterly distributions, plus any arrearages, and may receive less in distributions upon liquidation. Convertible subordinated unit holders generally are entitled to a minimum quarterly distribution prior to the payment of incentive distributions to the general partner, but are not entitled to arrearage rights. Therefore, they generally entail greater risk than MLP common units. They are generally convertible automatically into the senior common units of the same issuer at a one-to-one ratio upon the passage of time or the satisfaction of certain financial tests. These units do not trade on a national exchange or over-the-counter, and there is no active market for convertible subordinated units. The value of a convertible security is a function of its worth if converted into the underlying common units. Convertible subordinated units generally have similar voting rights to MLP common units. Because convertible subordinated units generally convert to common units on a one-to-one ratio, the price that the Portfolio could be expected to pay upon purchase or to realize upon resale is generally tied to the common unit price less a discount. The size of the discount varies depending on a variety of factors including the likelihood of conversion, and the length of time remaining to conversion, and the size of the block purchased.

MLP I-Shares represent an indirect investment in MLP I-units. I-units are equity securities issued to affiliates of MLPs, typically a limited liability company, that own an interest in and manage the MLP. The issuer has management rights but is not entitled to incentive distributions. The I-Share issuer's assets consist exclusively of MLP I-units. Distributions by MLPs to I-unit holders are made in the form of additional I-units, generally equal in amount to the cash received by common unit holders of MLPs. Distributions to I-Share holders are made in the form of additional I-Shares, generally equal in amount to the I-units received by the I-Share issuer. The issuer of the I-Share is taxed as a corporation for federal income tax purposes; however, the MLP does not allocate income or loss to the I-Share issuer. Accordingly, investors receive a Form 1099, are not allocated their proportionate share of income of the MLPs and are not subject to state income tax filing obligations. The price of I-Shares and their volatility tend to be correlated to the price of common units, although the price correlation is not precise.

A Portfolio's investments in MLPs is anticipated to consist primarily of "qualified publicly traded partnerships" that do not generate non-qualifying income for the purposes of satisfying the Portfolio's "gross income test," as further discussed in "Certain Material US Federal Income Tax Considerations" below.

LIBOR Rate Risk

Historically, many debt securities, derivatives and other financial instruments, including some of the Portfolios' investments, have utilized the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") as the reference or benchmark rate for variable interest rate calculations. As a result of benchmark reforms, publication of most LIBOR settings has ceased. Some LIBOR settings continue to be published but only on a temporary, synthetic and non-representative basis. Regulated entities have generally ceased entering into new LIBOR contracts in connection with regulatory guidance or prohibitions. Public and private sector actors have worked to establish new or alternative reference rates to be used in place of LIBOR. Certain equity and debt securities in which Portfolios may invest may have earned interest at (or, in some limited circumstances, continue to earn interest at), a floating rate based on LIBOR (ors in co on LIBOR0and institlowing investigations).

payments linked to those reference rates, which may adversely affect a Portfolio's performance and/or net asset value. It could also lead to a reduction in the interest rates on, and the value of, some LIBOR-based investments and reduce the effectiveness of hedges mitigating risk in connection with LIBOR-based investments. Uncertainty and risk also remain regarding the willingness and ability of issuers and lenders to include enhanced provisions in new and existing contracts or instruments. Consequently, the transition away from LIBOR to other reference rates may lead to increased volatility and illiquidity in markets that are tied to LIBOR, fluctuations in values of LIBOR-related investments or investments in issuers that utilize LIBOR, increased difficulty in borrowing or refinancing and diminished effectiveness of hedging strategies, adversely affecting a Portfolio's performance. Furthermore, the risks associated with the expected discontinuation of LIBOR and transition may be exacerbated if the work necessary to effect an orderly transition to an alternative reference rate is not completed in a timely manner. The usefulness of LIBOR as a benchmark could deteriorate anytime during this transition period. Any such effects of the transition process, including unforeseen effects, could result in losses to the Portfolios.

Although some replacement rates have been identified, other replacement rates could be adopted by market participants. On April 3, 2018, the New York Federal Reserve Bank began publishing its alternative rate, the Secured Overnight Financing Rate ("SOFR"). The Bank of England followed suit on April 23, 2018 by publishing its proposed alternative rate, the Sterling Overnight Index Average ("SONIA"). Each of SOFR and SONIA significantly differ from LIBOR, both in the actual rate and how it is calculated, and therefore it is unclear whether and when markets will adopt either of these rates as a widely accepted replacement for LIBOR. On July 29, 2021,

Borrowing Money

Each Portfolio may borrow to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act, which permits an investment company to borrow in an amount up to ccp e of the value of its total assets (including the amount borrowed) valued at the lesser of cost or market, less liabilities (including the amount borrowed) at the time the borrowing is made. Such borrowings are generally limited to borrowing from banks for temporary purposes, including the meeting of redemption requests which might require the untimely disposition of securities. While such borrowings exceed 5% of a Portfolio's total assets, the Portfolio will not make any additional investments. If borrowings exceed ccp e of

transactions") of a type accepted for clearing by a registered CCA, including both bilateral Treasury repo transactions and triparty Treasury repo transactions where a bank agent provides custody, collateral management and settlement services.

The Treasury repo transactions of registered funds with any direct participants of a CCA will be subject to the

positions are open, and the Portfolio may have to sell a security at a disadvantageous time or price to meet such obligations.

Each Portfolio, except the Real Assets Portfolio, is operated by the Investment Manager in reliance on an exclusion, granted to operators of registered investment companies such as the Portfolios, from registration as a "commodity pool operator" ("CPO"), with respect to the Portfolio, under the Commodity Exchange Act (the "CEA") and, therefore, is not subject to registration or regulation as a CPO under the CEA. The Portfolios, except the Real Assets Portfolio, may be limited in their ability to use commodity futures or options thereon, engage in certain swap transactions or make certain other investments (collectively, "commodity interests") if the Investment Manager continues to claim the exclusion from the definition of CPO with respect to such Portfolios. In order for the Investment Manager to be eligible to continue to claim this exclusion, if a Portfolio uses commodity interests other than for bona fide hedging purposes (as defined by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC")), the aggregate initial margin and premiums required to establish those positions (after taking into account unrealized profits and unrealized losses on any such positions and excluding the amount by which options are "in-the-money" at the time of purchase) may not exceed 5% of the Portfolio's NAV, or, alternatively, the aggregate net notional value of those positions, as determined at the time the most recent position was established, may not exceed 100% of the Portfolio's NAV (after taking into account unrealized profits and unrealized losses on any such positions). In addition to meeting one of the foregoing trading limitations, a Portfolio may not market itself as a commodity pool

derivative bears the risks of the creditworthiness of and default by the counterparty and consequently may lose all or a portion of their value due solely to the creditworthiness of or default by the counterparty. Accordingly, the Investment Manager will consider the creditworthiness of counterparties to over-the-counter derivatives in the same manner as it would review the credit quality of a security to be purchased by the Portfolio. Over-the-counter derivatives are less liquid than exchange-traded derivatives and may difficult to value since the other party to the transaction may be the only investor with sufficient understanding of the derivative to be interested in bidding for it.

Successful use of derivatives by a Portfolio also is subject to the Investment Manager's ability to predict correctly movements in the direction of the relevant market and to the extent the transaction is entered into for hedging purposes, to ascertain the appropriate correlation between the transaction being hedged and the price movements of the derivatives transaction. For example, if a Portfolio uses futures to hedge against the possibility of a decline in the market value of securities held in its portfolio and the prices of such securities instead increase, the Portfolio will lose part or all of the benefit of the increased value of securities which it has hedged because it will have offsetting losses in its futures positions. Use of derivatives transactions, even if entered into for hedging purposes, may cause the Portfolio to experience losses greater than if the Portfolio had not engaged in such transactions.

Derivatives transactions also are subject to operational risk, including from documentation issues, settlement issues, systems failures, inadequate controls, and human error, and legal risk, including risk of insufficient documentation, insufficient capacity or authority of counterparty, or legality or enforceability of a contract.

Rule 18f-4 under the 1940 Act regulates and, in some cases limits, the use of derivatives, reverse repurchase agreements, and certain other transactions by funds registered under the 1940 Act. Unless a Portfolio qualifies as a "limited derivatives user" as defined in Rule 18f-4, the rule requires the Portfolio to establish a comprehensive derivatives risk management program, to comply with certain value-at-risk based leverage limits and reporting requirements, to appoint a derivatives risk manager and to provide additional disclosure both publicly and to the SEC regarding its derivatives positions. If a Portfolio qualifies as a limited derivatives user, Rule 18f-4 would require the Portfolio to have policies and procedures to manage its aggregate derivatives risk and limit its derivatives exposure. Under the rule, when a Portfolio trades reverse repurchase agreements or similar financing transactions, including certain tender option bonds, it needs to aggregate the amount of indebtedness associated with the reverse repurchase agreements or similar financing transactions with the aggregate amount of any other senior securities representing indebtedness when calculating the Portfolio's asset coverage ratio or treat all such transactions as derivatives transactions. The SEC also provided guidance in connection with the rule regarding the use of securities lending collateral that may limit a Portfolio's securities lending activities. In addition, under the rule, a Portfolio is permitted to invest in a security on a when-issued or forward-settling basis, or with a non-standard settlement cycle, and the transaction will be deemed not to involve a senior security under the 1940 Act, provided that (i) the Fund intends to physically settle the transaction and (ii) the transaction will settle within 35 days of its trade date (the "Delayed-Settlement Securities Provision"). A Portfolio may otherwise engage in such transactions that do not meet the conditions of the Delayed-Settlement Securities Provision so long as the Portfolio treats any such transaction as a "derivatives transaction" for purposes of compliance with the rule. Furthermore, under the rule, a Portfolio is permitted to enter into an unfunded commitment agreement, and such unfunded commitment agreement will not be subject to the asset coverage requirements under the 1940 Act, if the Portfolio reasonably believes, at the time it enters into such agreement, that it will have sufficient cash and cash equivalents to meet its obligations with respect to all such agreements as they come due. These requirements could have an impact on a Portfolio, including a potential increase in cost to enter into derivatives transactions and may require a Portfolio to alter, perhaps materially, its use of derivatives.

<u>Futures Contracts—In General</u>. Futures contracts may be entered into in US domestic markets or on exchanges located outside the United States. Foreign markets may offer advantages such as trading opportunities or arbitrage possibilities not available in the United States. Foreign markets, however, may have greater risk potential than domestic markets. For example, some foreign exchanges are principal markets so that no common clearing facility exists and an investor may look only to the broker for performance of the contract. In addition, any profits a Portfolio might realize in trading se pargnded slacts—In Gen haves a lity

Engaging in these transactions involves risk of loss to the Portfolio which could adversely affect the value of the Portfolio's net assets. Although a Portfolio would intend to purchase or sell futures contracts only if there is an active market for such contracts, no assurance can be given that a liquid market will exist for any particular contract at any particular time. Many futures exchanges and boards of trade limit the amount of fluctuation permitted in futures contract prices during a single trading day. Once the daily limit has been reached in a particular contract, no trades may be made that day at a price beyond that limit or trading may be suspended for specified periods during the trading day. Futures contract prices could move to the limit for several consecutive trading days with little or no trading, thereby preventing prompt liquidation of futures positions and potentially subjecting the Portfolio to substantial losses.

Specific Futures Contracts. A stock index future obligates the Portfolio to pay (or receive) an amount of cash equal to a fixed dollar amount specified in the futures contract multiplied by the difference between the settlement price of the contract on the contract's last trading day and the value of the index based on the stock prices of the securities that comprise it at the opening of trading in such securities on the next business day.

An interest rate future obligates the Portfolio to purchase (or sell) an amount of a specific debt security at a future date at a specific price.

A currency future obligates the Portfolio to purchase (or sell) an amount of a specific currency at a future date at a specific price.

A commodity futures contract is an agreement in which one party agrees to buy a commodity, such as an energy, agricultural or metal commodity at a later date at a price and quantity agreed-upon when the contract is made. The commodities which underlie commodity futures contracts may be subject to additional economic and non-economic variables, such as drought, weather, embargoes, tariffs, and international economic, political and regulatory developments. These factors may have a larger impact on commodity prices and commodity-linked instruments, including futures contracts, than on traditional securities. Certain commodities are also subject to limited pricing flexibility because of supply and demand factors. Others are subject to broad price fluctuations as a result of the volatility of the prices for certain raw materials and the instability of supplies of other materials. These factors, when applicable, can be expected to impact related commodity futures contracts.

Options—In General. An (American) call option gives the purchaser of the option the right to buy, and obligates the seller (writer) to sell, the underlying security or securities at the exercise price at any time during the option period, or at a specific date. Conversely, an (American) put option gives the purchaser of the option the right to sell, and obligates the writer to buy, the underlying security or securities at the exercise price at any time during the option period, or at a specific date.

A covered call option written by a Portfolio is a call option with respect to which the Portfolio owns the underlying security. A put option written by a Portfolio is covered when, among other things, the Portfolio enters into an offsetting transaction or segregates permissible liquid assets having a value equal to or greater than the exercise price of the option to fulfill the obligation undertaken. The principal reason for writing covered call and put options is to realize, through the receipt of premiums, a greater return than would be realized on the underlying securities alone. A Portfolio receives a premium from writing an option, which it retains whether or not the option is exercised.

There is no assurance that sufficient trading interest to create a liquid secondary market on a securities exchange will exist for any particular option or at any particular time, and for some options no such secondary market may exist. A liquid secondary market in an option may cease to exist for a variety of reasons. In the past, for example, higher than anticipated trading activity or order flow, or other unforeseen events, at times have rendered certain of the clearing facilities inadequate and resulted in the institution of special procedures, such as trading rotations,

Specific Options Transactions. Call and put options in respect of specific securities (or groups or "baskets" of specific securities) or indices may be bought and sold on national securities exchanges or in the over-the-counter market. An option on an index is similar to an option in respect of specific securities, except that settlement does not occur by delivery of the securities comprising the index. Instead, the option holder receives an amount of cash if the closing level of the index upon which the option is based is greater than, in the case of a call, or less than, in the case of a put, the exercise price of the option. Thus, the effectiveness of purchasing or writing index options will depend upon price movements in the level of the index rather than the price of a particular security.

contract period and the market conditions then prevailing. Because forward contracts are usually entered into on a principal basis, no fees or commissions are involved. Generally, secondary markets do not exist for forward contracts, with the result that closing transactions can be made for forward contracts only by negotiating directly with the counterparty to the contract. As with other over-the-counter derivatives transactions, forward contracts are subject to the credit risk of the counterparty.

Currency exchange rates may fluctuate significantly over short periods of time. They generally are determined by the forces of supply and demand in the foreign exchange markets and the relative merits of investments in different countries, actual or perceived changes in interest rates and other complex factors, as seen from an international perspective. Currency exchange rates also can be affected unpredictably by intervention of US or foreign governments or central banks, or the failure to intervene, or by currency controls or political developments in the United States or abroad.

Foreign currency transactions may be entered into for a variety of purposes, including: to fix in US dollars, between trade and settlement date, the value of a security the Portfolio has agreed to buy or sell; to hedge the US dollar value of securities the Portfolio already owns, particularly if it expects a decrease in the value of the currency in which the foreign security is denominated; or to gain exposure to the foreign currency in an attempt to realize gains.

Foreign currency transactions may involve, for example, the Portfolio's purchase of foreign currencies for US dollars or the maintenance of short positions in foreign currencies. A short position would involve the Portfolio agreeing to exchange an amount of a currency it did not currently own for another currency at a future date in anticipation of a decline in the value of the currency sold relative to the currency the Portfolio contracted to receive. The Portfolio's success in these transactions will depend principally on the Investment Manager's ability to predict accurately the future exchange rates between foreign currencies and the US dollar.

Commodities and Commodity-Related Instruments, Including Commodity ETPs

Commodities are assets that have tangible properties, such as oil, metals, livestock or agricultural products. Historically, commodity investments have had a relatively high correlation with changes in inflation and a relatively low correlation to stock and bond returns. Commodity-related instruments provide exposure, which may include

Securities purchased on a forward commitment, when-issued or delayed-delivery basis are subject to changes in value (generally changing in the same way, *i.e.*, appreciating when interest rates decline and depreciating when interest rates rise) based upon the public's perception of the creditworthiness of the issuer and changes, real or anticipated, in the level of interest rates. Securities purchased on a forward commitment, when-issued or delayed-delivery basis may expose a Portfolio to risks because they may experience such fluctuations prior to their actual delivery. Purchasing securities on a forward commitment, when-issued or delayed-delivery basis can involve the additional risk that the yield available in the market when the delivery takes place actually may be higher than that obtained in the transaction itself. Purchasing securities on a forward commitment, when-issued or delayed-delivery basis when the Portfolio is fully or almost fully invested may result in greater potential fluctuation in the value of the Portfolio's net assets and its net asset value per share.

A fund may only enter into such transactions in compliance with the SEC's rule related to the use of derivatives, reverse repurchase agreements and similar transactions. (See "Derivative" above.)

- 2. The Portfolios may not issue senior securities, purchase securities on margin, borrow money, pledge or mortgage its assets or invest in commodities or commodities contracts, except to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act.
- 3. The Portfolios may not purchase, hold or deal in real estate, or oil, gas or other mineral leases or exploration or development programs, but a Portfolio may purchase and sell securities that are secured by real estate or issued by companies that invest or deal in real estate or real estate investment trusts.
- 4. The Portfolios may not make loans to others, except through the purchase of debt obligations and the entry into repurchase agreements. However, a Portfolio may lend its portfolio securities in an amount not to exceed ccp e of the value of its total assets. Any loans of portfolio securities will be made according to guidelines established by the SEC and the Fund's Board.
- 5. The Portfolios may not act as an underwriter of securities of other issuers, except to the extent a Portfolio may be deemed an underwriter under the Securities Act by virtue of disposing of portfolio securities.

Directors. The Board does not have a Chairman, but the Independent Directors have designated a lead Independent Director who chairs meetings or executive sessions of the Independent Directors, reviews and comments on Board meeting agendas and facilitates communication among the Independent Directors, their counsel and management. The Board has determined that its leadership structure, in which the Independent Directors have designated a lead Independent Director to function as described above is appropriate in light of the specific characteristics and circumstances of the Fund, including, but not limited to: (i) services that the Investment Manager and its affiliates provide to the Fund and potential conflicts of interest that could arise from these relationships; (ii) the extent to

Name (Year of Birth) Address⁽¹⁾ **Position(s) with the Fund**

• Robert M. Solmson is the Co-Managing Partner and Managing Director of Fairwood Capital, LLC, a private investment corporation engaged primarily in real estate and hotel investments. Previously, Mr. Solmson was the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of RFS Hotel Investors, a real estate investment trust which he formed in 1993. He also served as its President. Mr. Solmson has served on the boards of a number of corporations and non-profit organizations. He presently serves as a director and chairman of the audit committee of Orgill, Inc., a large privately held national distributor of hardware products and lumber. He graduated from Washington and Lee University.

Set forth below are the names and certain biographical and other information for the Fund's officers (in addition to Mr. Paul).

Name (Year of Birth) Address⁽¹⁾ Position(s) with the Fund (Since) and Term⁽²⁾

Principal Occupation(s) During the Past Five Years

Mark R. Anderson (1970)

Vice President and

(2) Each officer serves for an indefinite term, until his or her successor is elected and qualifies or until his or her earlier resignation or removal. Each officer serves in the same capacity for the other funds in the Lazard Fund Complex.

Board Committees, Share Ownership and Compensation

The Fund has standing audit and nominating committees, each comprised of its Independent Directors.

The function of the audit committee is to (1) oversee the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Fund and the audits of the Fund's financial statements and (2) assist Board oversight of (i) the integrity of the Fund's financial statements, (ii) the Fund's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements that relate to the Fund's accounting and financial reporting, internal control over financial reporting and independent audits, and (iii) the qualifications, independence and performance of the Fund's independent registered public accounting firm.

While the nominating committee is solely responsible for the selection and nomination of the Fund's Directors, the nominating committee may consider nominations for the office of Director made by the Fund's current Directors, officers, shareholders or other source the nominating committee deems appropriate. Shareholders who wish to recommend a nominee should send nominations to the Secretary of the Fund, 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York 10112-3600. Nominations may be submitted only by a shareholder or group of shareholders that, individually or as a group, has beneficially owned the lesser of (a) 1% of the Fund's outstanding shares or (b) \$500,000 of the Fund's shares for at least one year prior to the date such shareholder or group submits a candidate for nomination. Not more than one nominee for Director may be submitted by such a shareholder or group each calendar year. In evaluating potential nominees, including any nominees recommended by shareholders, the nominating committee takes into consideration the factors listed in the nominating committee charter, including character and integrity, business and professional experience, and whether or not the person is qualified under applicable laws and regulations to serve as a Director of the Fund. A nomination submission must include all information relating to the recommended nominee that is required to be disclosed in solicitations or proxy statements for the election of Directors, as well as information sufficient to evaluate the factors listed above. Nomination submissions must be accompanied by a written consent of the individual to stand for election if nominated by the Board and to serve if elected by the shareholders, and such additional information must be provided regarding the recommended nominee as reasonably requested by the nominating committee.

The audit committee met four times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2023.

The table below indicates the dollar range of each Director's ownership of Portfolio shares and aggregate holdings of all of the funds in the Lazard Fund Complex, in each case as of December 31, 2023.

	Kenneth			Trevor
	Franci J.	S.	Nancy A.	W.
Portfolio*	Blassberg	Davidson	Eckl	Morrison

	Franci J.	Kenneth S.	Nancy A.	Trevor W.	Nathan A.	Richard	Evan L.	Robert M.
Portfolio*	Blassberg	Davidson	Eckl	Morrison	Paul**	Reiss, Jr.	Russo**	Solmson
International Equity Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
International Equity Select Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
International Equity Advantage Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
International Quality Growth Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
International Strategic Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
International Small Cap Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Managed Volatility Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Franchise Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Emerging Markets Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Emerging Markets Advantage Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Developing Markets Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Convertibles Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Global Listed Infrastructure Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Real Assets Portfolio	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

Aggregate Compensation from the Fund

Total Compensation from the Lazard Fund Complex

Director

value to preferred accounts. Initial public offerings, in particular, are frequently of very limited availability. A potential conflict of interest may be perceived to arise if transactions in one account closely follow related

transactional, financial and other interests in securities, instruments and companies that may be directly or indirectly purchased or sold by the Portfolios or the Portfolios' service providers, which may cause conflicts that could disadvantage the Portfolios.

Accounts Managed by the Portfolio Managers. The charts below include information regarding the members of the portfolio management teams responsible for managing the Portfolios. Specifically, they show the number of portfolios and assets managed by management teams of which each Portfolio's portfolio manager is a member and accounts managed by each portfolio manager that are subject to performance-based advisory fees. Information is presented as of December 31, 2023 unless otherwise indicated. Regardless of the number of accounts, the portfolio management team still manages each account based on a model portfolio as described above.

David Alcaly	Daniel V. Marray	Registered Investment	Other Pooled Investment	Other Accounts
Michael A. Bennett 11 (12.2 billion) 14 (5.6 billion) 142 (20.2 billion) Frank Bianco 15 (256.1 million) 78 (2.4 billion) 13 (131.8 million) Jimmie Bork 6 (5.2 billion) 9 (4.3 billion) 3 (5.1 million) Terence P. Brennan 5 (139.9 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Arnaud Brillois 1 (9.3 million) 12 (4.2 billion) 37 (6.8 billion) Arnaud Brillois 1 (9.3 million) 12 (4.2 billion) 37 (6.8 billion) Bohit Chopra 8 (3.9 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 37 (6.8 billion) Bertrand Cliquet 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Michael DeBernardis 2 (81.2 million) 7 (970.7 million) 4 (227.9 million) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry		• '		
Frank Bianco 15 (256.1 million) 78 (2.4 billion) 13 (131.8 million) Jimmie Bork 6 (5.2 billion) 9 (4.3 billion) 42 (8.7 billion) Terence P. Brennan 5 (139.9 million) 6 (172.3 million) 3 (5.1 million) Arnaud Brillois 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Rohit Chopra 8 (3.9 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Bettrand Cliquet 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Michael DeBernardis 2 (81.2 million) 7 (970.7 million) 4 (227.9 million) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 18 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 19 (3.7 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) James M. Donald 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (3.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Mobir C. Donaldis 6 (7.0 billion) 19 (3.7 billion) <	•	,		
Jimmie Bork 6 (5.2 billion) 9 (4.3 billion) 42 (8.7 billion) Terence P. Brennan 5 (139.9 million) 6 (172.3 million) 3 (5.1 million) Arnaud Brillois 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Rohit Chopra 8 (3.9 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 37 (6.8 billion) Bertrand Cliquet 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Michael DeBernardis 2 (81.2 million) 7 (970.7 million) 4 (227.9 million) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 105 (11.6 billion) Peter Gillespie			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Terence P. Brennan				
Arnaud Brillois 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Rohit Chopra 8 (3.9 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 37 (6.8 billion) Bertrand Cliquet 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Michael DeBernardis 2 (81.2 million) 7 (970.7 million) 4 (227.9 million) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 10 (8.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 6 (3.2 billion) 7 (4.5 billion) 7 (15.2 billion)			. ,	
Rohit Chopra 8 (3.9 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 37 (6.8 billion) Bertrand Cliquet 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Michael DeBernardis 2 (81.2 million) 7 (970.7 million) 4 (227.9 million) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Louis Florentin-Lee 12 (31.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 3 (8.7 billion) Alex Ingham <		. ,		
Bertrand Cliquet 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Michael DeBernardis 2 (81.2 million) 7 (970.7 million) 4 (227.9 million) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Louis Florentin-Lee 12 (31.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Peter Gillespie 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 105 (11.6 billion) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (31.5.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 2 (3.4 billion) 7 (15.2 billion) Peter Kashanek				
Michael DeBernardis 2 (81.2 million) 7 (970.7 million) 4 (227.9 million) James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Moichael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (415.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Sestitay 20 (30.9 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Sestitay 20 (3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
James M. Donald 12 (9.4 billion) 13 (4.8 billion) 76 (10.1 billion) Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Louis Florentin-Lee 12 (31.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (415.2 billion) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 2 (3.4 billion) 7 (15.2 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3		*		
Giles Edwards 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Louis Florentin-Lee 12 (31.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (4.15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2				
Robert Failla 3 (1.6 billion) 4 (237.8 million) 7 (97.4 million) Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Louis Florentin-Lee 12 (31.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Taras Ivanenko 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Jessica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Adex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Alex Lai 18 (2				
Martin Flood 16 (30.7 billion) 19 (5.4 billion) 178 (13.0 billion) Louis Florentin-Lee 12 (31.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 7 (315.7 million) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 79 (15.2 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Sestica Kittay 20 (3.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Sectit Kolar 2 (
Louis Florentin-Lee 12 (31.7 billion) 19 (4.7 billion) 110 (8.6 billion) Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Taras Ivanenko 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.	Robert Failla			
Michael G. Fry 6 (7.0 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 105 (11.6 billion) Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Taras Ivanenko 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Jessica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 bill	Martin Flood			
Sean Gallagher 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Taras Ivanenko 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Sesica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 bi			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Peter Gillespie 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 7 (315.7 million) Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Taras Ivanenko 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Sesica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million	Michael G. Fry	6 (7.0 billion)	8 (1.4 billion)	105 (11.6 billion)
Alex Ingham 1 (12.0 million) 6 (1.2 billion) 2 (25.3 million) Taras Ivanenko 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Jessica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 mill	Sean Gallagher		2 (44.5 million)	4 (227.9 million)
Taras Ivanenko 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Jessica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (41.1 mil	Peter Gillespie	2 (196.6 million)		
Robin O. Jones 6 (5.2 billion) 7 (4.3 billion) 39 (8.7 billion) Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Jessica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 114 (260.3 million) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.	Alex Ingham	1 (12.0 million)	6 (1.2 billion)	2 (25.3 million)
Peter Kashanek 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) Jessica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 b	Taras Ivanenko	18 (2.3 billion)	26 (3.4 billion)	74 (15.2 billion)
Jessica Kittay 20 (30.9 billion) 29 (6.5 billion) 191 (13.1 billion) Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 mill	Robin O. Jones	6 (5.2 billion)	7 (4.3 billion)	39 (8.7 billion)
Scott Kolar 2 (81.2 million) 2 (44.5 million) 4 (227.9 million) Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million)<	Peter Kashanek	18 (2.3 billion)	28 (3.4 billion)	75 (15.2 billion)
Andrew D. Lacey 14 (30.4 billion) 8 (3.2 billion) 95 (8.3 billion) Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) <	Jessica Kittay	20 (30.9 billion)	29 (6.5 billion)	191 (13.1 billion)
Alex Lai 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Gan	Scott Kolar	2 (81.2 million)	2 (44.5 million)	4 (227.9 million)
Matthew Landy 6 (9.2 billion) 13 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Andrew D. Lacey	14 (30.4 billion)	8 (3.2 billion)	95 (8.3 billion)
Jay Levy 3 (371.3 million) 3 (465.3 million) 59 (2.9 billion) Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Alex Lai	18 (2.3 billion)	26 (3.4 billion)	74 (15.2 billion)
Kurt Livermore 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Matthew Landy	6 (9.2 billion)	13 (6.1 billion)	18 (3.6 billion)
Ciprian Marin 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.4 billion) 74 (15.2 billion) Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Jay Levy	3 (371.3 million)	3 (465.3 million)	59 (2.9 billion)
Stephen Marra 2 (50.4 million) 3 (25.0 million) 114 (260.3 million) Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Kurt Livermore	18 (2.3 billion)	26 (3.4 billion)	74 (15.2 billion)
Shanu Mathew 1 (11.9 million) 1 (509.3 million) 1 (596.9 thousand) Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Ciprian Marin	18 (2.3 billion)	26 (3.4 billion)	74 (15.2 billion)
Dan McGoey 1 (14.1 million) 2 (7.3 million) 2 (283.8 thousand) Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Stephen Marra	2 (50.4 million)	3 (25.0 million)	114 (260.3 million)
Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Shanu Mathew	1 (11.9 million)	1 (509.3 million)	1 (596.9 thousand)
Paul Moghtader 18 (2.3 billion) 28 (3.4 billion) 75 (15.2 billion) John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)	Dan McGoey	1 (14.1 million)	2 (7.3 million)	2 (283.8 thousand)
John Mulquiney 6 (9.2 billion) 12 (6.1 billion) 18 (3.6 billion) Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)		18 (2.3 billion)	28 (3.4 billion)	75 (15.2 billion)
Emmanuel Naar 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)		6 (9.2 billion)	12 (6.1 billion)	
Kevin O'Hare 2 (196.6 million) 1 (90.1 million) 8 (322.6 million) Michael Powers 9 (7.3 billion) 8 (1.4 billion) 104 (11.6 billion) Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)				
Michael Powers9 (7.3 billion)8 (1.4 billion)104 (11.6 billion)Andrew Raab1 (9.3 million)17 (5.3 billion)9 (1.5 billion)Ganesh Ramachandran10 (4.0 billion)12 (4.2 billion)32 (6.1 billion)		•		
Andrew Raab 1 (9.3 million) 17 (5.3 billion) 9 (1.5 billion) Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)				
Ganesh Ramachandran 10 (4.0 billion) 12 (4.2 billion) 32 (6.1 billion)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Dean reynords 15 (450.1 minion) /0 (4.4 minon) 15 (151.6 minion)	Sean Reynolds	15 (256.1 million)	78 (2.4 billion)	13 (131.8 million)
Warryn Robertson 6 (9.2 billion) 16 (6.3 billion) 22 (4.5 billion)			` ,	
Edward Rosenfeld 1 (12.0 million) 9 (1.3 billion) 5 (1.4 billion)	•			
Craig Scholl 18 (2.3 billion) 26 (3.3 billion) 74 (15.2 billion)				

Portfolio Manager H. Ross Seiden Companies (\$)

Combanies (\$)

Registered Investment

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles (\$) Other Accounts (\$)*

borrowings, dividend and interest expenses on securities sold short, fees and expenses of "Acquired Funds" (as defined in Form N-1A), fees and expenses related to filing foreign tax reclaims and extraordinary expenses.

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2021, 2022 and 2023, the management fees payable by each Portfolio, the amounts waived (and reimbursed), by the Investment Manager and the net fees paid to the Investment Manager were as follows:

	Fee Payable For	Fee Payable For	Fee Payable For
	Fiscal Year Ended	Fiscal Year Ended	Fiscal Year Ended
Portfolio	December 31, 2021	December 31, 2022	December 31, 2023
Small Cap Equity Select Portfolio	\$398,815	\$320,928	\$296,471

Manager, or of reckless disregard of its obligations thereunder, the Investment Manager shall not be liable for any action or failure to act in accordance with its duties thereunder.

Proxy Voting

The Board has delegated to the Investment Manager the authority to vote proxies of companies held in the Fund's portfolio.

The Investment Manager has adopted a Global Proxy Voting Policy, attached as Appendix B, which sets forth proxy voting guidelines applicable to specific types of common proxy proposals. Depending on the proposal, the applicable guideline may provide that the Investment Manager should vote for or against the proposal, or that the proposal should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

The Fund's proxy voting record for the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling (800) 823-6300 or (2) on the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov. Information as of June 30 each year will generally be available by the following August 31.

Administrator, Custodian and Transfer Agent

State Street, One Iron Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, provides certain administrative services to the Portfolios pursuant to an agreement with the Fund. Each Portfolio bears the cost of such services. Fees are based on a percentage of net assets plus additional charges for specific services and out-of-pocket expenses.

State Street also serves as the Fund's custodian and, among other things, maintains a custody account or accounts in the name of each Portfolio; receives and delivers all assets for each Portfolio upon purchase and upon sale or maturity; collects and receives all income and other payments and distributions on account of the assets of each Portfolio and disburses the Portfolio's assets in payment of its expenses. The custodian does not determine the investment policies of any Portfolio or decide which securities any Portfolio will buy or sell.

SS&C Global Investor and Distribution Solutions, Inc. ("SS&C GIDS" or the "Transfer Agent"), 2000 Crown Colony Drive, Quincy, Massachusetts 02169-0953, is the Fund's transfer and dividend disbursing agent. Under a transfer agency agreement with the Fund, SS&C GIDS arranges for the maintenance of shareholder account records for each Portfolio, the handling of certain communications between shareholders and the Fund and the payment of dividends and distributions payable by the Fund. For its services, SS&C GIDS receives a monthly fee computed on the basis of the number of shareholder accounts it maintains, subject to a minimum fee amount per Class in each Portfolio, and is reimbursed for certain out-of-pocket expenses. SS&C GIDS has agreed to waive the monthly minimum fee for the first six months after a new Portfolio or Class has commenced operations.

Distributor

Lazard Asset Management Securities LLC, 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York 10112-6300, serves as the distributor of each Portfolio's shares and conducts a continuous offering pursuant to a "best efforts" arrangement. As the distributor, it accepts purchase and redemption orders for Portfolio shares. In addition, the distribution agreement obligates the Distributor to pay certain expenses in connection with the offering of Portfolio shares. After the Prospectus and periodic reports have been prepared, set in type and mailed to shareholders, the Distributor also will pay for any printing and distribution of copies thereof used in connection with the offering to prospective investors.

DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE

The net asset value ("NAV") per share for each Class of each Portfolio is determined each day the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") is open for trading as of the close of regular trading on the NYSE (generally 4:00 p.m. Eastern time). The Fund will not treat an intraday unscheduled disruption in NYSE trading as a closure of the NYSE, and will price its shares as of 4:00 p.m., if the particular disruption directly affects only the NYSE. The NYSE is ordinarily closed on the following national holidays: New Year's Day, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, Washington's Birthday, Good Friday, Memorial Day, Juneteenth National Independence Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day. The NAV per share of a Class is determined by dividing the value of the total assets of the Portfolio represented by such Class, less all liabilities, by the total number of Portfolio shares of such Class outstanding.

Equity securities traded on a securities exchange or market, including exchange-traded option contracts, rights and warrants, are valued at the last reported sales price (for US-listed equity securities) or the closing price (for non-US-listed equity securities) on the exchange or market on which the security is principally traded or, for securities trading on the Nasdaq, the Nasdaq Official Closing Price. If there is no available closing price for a non-US-listed equity security, the last reported sales price is used. If there are no reported sales of a security on the valuation date, the security is valued at the most recent quoted bid price on such date reported by such principal exchange or market. Futures contracts are valued at the settlement price on the exchange on which the contract is principally traded. Over-the-counter swap agreements are valued by an independent pricing service, and centrally-cleared swaps are valued at the last reported sale on the clearing exchange. Forward currency contracts generally are valued using quotations from an independent pricing service. Investments in money market funds are valued at the fund's net asset value per share.

Bonds and other fixed-income securities that are not exchange-traded are valued on the basis of prices provided by independent pricing services which are based on, among other things, trading in securities with similar characteristics, brokers' quotations and/or a matrix system which considers such factors as other security prices,

Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., Lipper Inc., Morningstar, Inc., Axioma, Inc., Bloomberg L.P., FactSet Research Systems Inc., Northfield Information Services, Inc. and SS&C Technologies, Inc. Service providers receive portfolio holdings at a frequency appropriate to their services, which may be as frequently as daily, and such information may be current as of the business day provided. No compensation is paid in consideration of receiving such information. Disclosure of portfolio holdings may be authorized only by the Fund's Chief Compliance Officer or the General Counsel of the Investment Manager, each of whom evaluates such disclosure in light of the best interests of Portfolio shareholders and any potential conflicts of interest. Any violations of the Fund's portfolio holdings disclosure policy are reported to the Board.

Portfolio Characteristics

Concurrent with or subsequent to the quarterly public disclosure of portfolio holdings, from time to time the Fund

next computed after such orders are accepted by a Service Agent. Service Agents may charge their clients fees which would not apply to shares purchased through the Distributor.

Redemption Commitment

the Investment Manager or their affiliates. The Fund's Board believes there is a reasonable likelihood that the Distribution and Servicing Plan will benefit each Portfolio and holders of its Service Shares.

CERTAIN MATERIAL US FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following discussion is a general summary of certain material US federal income tax considerations applicable to a Portfolio, including each Portfolio's qualification and taxation as a RIC for US federal income tax purposes under Subchapter M of the Code. This discussion does not purport to be a complete description of all of the tax considerations applicable to the Portfolios. This discussion does not discuss any aspects of US estate or gift tax or non-US, state or local tax laws nor does it discuss the special treatment under US federal income tax laws that could result if a Portfolio invests in tax-exempt securities or certain other investment assets. This discussion is based upon the Code, its legislative history, existing and proposed Treasury regulations, published rulings and court decisions, each as of the date of this SAI and all of which are subject to change or differing interpretations, possibly retroactively, which could affect the continuing validity of this discussion. No Portfolio has sought, and no Portfolio will seek any ruling from the IRS regarding any matter discussed herein, and this discussion is not binding on the IRS. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the IRS would not assert, and that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of the tax consequences discussed herein.

Since the shareholders of each Portfolio are the Participating Insurance Companies and their separate accounts, the tax treatment of dividends and distributions will depend on the tax status of the Participating Insurance Company. Accordingly, no discussion is included as to the federal income tax consequences to such shareholders or to the relevant Policy owners. For information regarding the taxation of Policy owners, Policy owners should consult the applicable prospectus of the separate account of the Participating Insurance Company. The discussion below assumes that the shares of each Portfolio will be respected as owned by the insurance company separate accounts. If this is not true, the person or persons determined to own the shares of a Portfolio will be currently taxed on Portfolio distributions and upon any redemption of Portfolio shares, pursuant to generally applicable rules of the Code and Treasury regulations.

Taxation of the Portfolios

Each Portfolio has elected to be treated as, and intends to continue to qualify in each taxable year as, a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. To qualify as a RIC, a Portfolio must, among other things, meet certain source-of-income and asset diversification requirements (as described below). As a RIC, a Portfolio will not pay corporate-level US federal income taxes on any net ordinary income or capital gains that the Portfolio timely distributes (or is deemed to timely distribute) to its shareholders as dividends. Instead, dividends a Portfolio distributes (or is deemed to timely distribute) generally will be taxable to shareholders, and any net operating losses, foreign tax credits and most other tax attributes generally will not pass through to shareholders. A Portfolio will be subject to US federal corporate-level income tax on any undistributed income and gains. To qualify as a RIC for US federal income tax purposes, a Portfolio generally must, among other things:

maintain an election and qualify as a registered management company under the 1940 Act at all times

or similar or related trades or businesses; or (iii) securities of one or more QPTPs (collectively, the "Diversification Tests").

For the purpose of determining whether a Portfolio satisfies the 90% Gross Income Test and the Diversification Tests, the character of the Portfolio's distributive share of items of income, gain, losses, deductions and credits derived through any investments in companies that are treated as partnerships for US federal income tax purposes (other than certain publicly traded partnerships), or are otherwise treated as disregarded from the Portfolio for US federal income tax purposes, generally will be determined as if the Portfolio realized these tax items directly. Further, future Treasury regulations could provide that qualifying income under the 90% gross income test will not include gains from foreign currency transactions that are not directly related to a Portfolio's principal business of investing in stock or securities or options and futures with respect to stock or securities.

A RIC is limited in its ability to deduct expenses in excess of its investment company taxable income. If a Portfolio's deductible expenses in a given year exceed its investment company taxable income, the Portfolio will have a net operating loss for that year. A RIC is not able to offset its investment company taxable income with net operating losses on either a carryforward or carryback basis, and net operating losses generally will not pass through to shareholders. In addition, expenses may be used only to offset investment company taxable income and may not

in order to mitigate the effects of these provisions; however, no assurance can be given that a Portfolio will be eligible for any such tax elections or that any elections it makes will fully mitigate the effects of these provisions.

Gain or loss recognized by a Portfolio from securities and other financial assets acquired by the Portfolio, as well as any loss attributable to the lapse of options, warrants, or other financial assets taxed as options generally will be treated as capital gain or loss. Such gain or loss generally will be long-term or short-term depending on how long the Portfolio held a particular security or other financial asset.

A portfolio company in which a Portfolio invests may face financial difficulties that require the Portfolio to workout, modify or otherwise restructure its investment in the portfolio company. Any such transaction could, depending upon the specific terms of the transaction, cause the Portfolio to recognize taxable income without a corresponding receipt of cash, which could affect its ability to satisfy the Annual Distribution Requirement or result in unusable

Allianz Life Insurance 5701 Golden Hills Dr Minneapolis, MN 55416

11.81%

International Equity Portfolio—Service Shares

Ohio National Life Insurance Co. 1 Financial Way Montgomery, OH 45242-5800

70.54%

New York Life Insurance Company 51 Madison Ave New York, NY 10010

13.99%

Jefferson National Life Insurance Co. Attn: Separate Accounts 9920 Corporate Campus Drive Louisville, KY 40223-4051

10.25%

Emerging Markets Portfolio—Service Shares

Ohio National Life Insurance Co. 1 Financial Way Montgomery, OH 45242-5800

50.18%

Nationwide Investment Services Corporation 1 Nationwide Plaza Columbus, OH 43215-2226

10.67%

Delaware Life Insurance Company 1601 Trapelo Road Waltham, MA 02451-7333

8.79%

Jefferson National Life Insurance Co. Attn: Separate Accounts 9920 Corporate Campus Drive Louisville, KY 40223-4051

7.59%

Emerging Markets Portfolio—Investor Shares

Fidelity Investments Life Insurance Company 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109-3605

78.24%

Cuna Brokerage Service, Inc. 2000 Heritage Way Waverly, IA 50677-9208

A 50677-9208 11.84%

Dynamic Portfolio—Service Shares

Ohio National Life Insurance Co. 1 Financial Way Montgomery, OH 45242-5800

89.02%

Dynamic Portfolio—Investor Shares

Ameriprise Financial 753 Ameriprise Financial Center Minneapolis, MN 55474-0007

62.68%

Pacific Life Insurance Co 45 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656-2601

35.65%

Under the 1940 Act, a shareholder that beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, more than 25% of a Portfolio's total outstanding shares may be deemed a "control person" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Portfolio.

Certain Participating Insurance Companies may from time to time own or control a significant percentage of a Portfolio's shares ("Large Shareholders"). Large Shareholders may redeem all or a portion of their shares of a Portfolio at any time or may be required to redeem all or a portion of their shares in order to comply with applicable regulatory restrictions. Redemptions by Large Shareholders of their shares of a Portfolio may force the Portfolio to sell securities at an unfavorable time and/or under unfavorable conditions, or sell more liquid assets of the Portfolio, in order to meet redemption requests. These sales may adversely affect a Portfolio's NAV and may result in increasing the Portfolio's liquidity risk, transaction costs and/or taxable distributions.

Generally, all shares have equal voting rights and will be voted in the aggregate, and not by class, except where voting by Class is required by law or where the matter involved affects only one Class. As used in this SAI, the vote

independent auditors. However, the holders of at least 10% of the shares outstanding and entitled to vote may require the Fund to hold a special meeting of shareholders for purposes of removing a Director from office. Shareholders may remove a Director by the affirmative vote of a majority of the Fund's outstanding voting shares. In addition, the Board will call a meeting of shareholders for the purpose of electing Directors if, at any time, less than a majority of the Directors then holding office have been elected by shareholders.

The Fund is a "series fund," which is a mutual fund divided into separate portfolios, each of which is treated as a separate entity for certain matters under the 1940 Act and for other purposes. A shareholder of one portfolio is not deemed to be a shareholder of any other portfolio. For certain matters shareholders vote together as a group; as to others they vote separately by portfolio.

All consideration received by the Fund for shares of one of the Portfolios, and all assets in which such consideration is invested, will belong to that Portfolio (subject only to the rights of creditors of the Fund) and will be subject to the liabilities related thereto. The income attributable to, and the expenses of, one Portfolio would be treated separately from those of the other Portfolios. The Fund has the ability to create, from time to time, new series without shareholder approval.

Rule 18f-2 under the 1940 Act provides that any matter required to be submitted under the provisions of the 1940 Act or applicable state law or otherwise to the holders of the outstanding voting securities of an investment company, such as the Fund, will not be deemed to have been effectively acted upon unless approved by the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of each portfolio affected by such matter. Rule 18f-2 further provides that a portfolio shall be deemed to be affected by a matter unless it is clear that the interests of each portfolio in the matter are identical or that the matter does not affect any interest of such portfolio. The Rule exempts the selection of independent auditors and the election of Directors from the separate voting requirements of the rule.

Each Portfolio will send annual and semi-annual financial statements to its shareholders. The Fund's Registration Statement, including the Prospectus, the SAI and the exhibits filed therewith, may be examined at the office of the SEC in Washington, D.C. Statements contained in the Prospectus or this SAI as to the content of any contract or other document referred to herein or in the Prospectus are not necessarily complete, and, in each instance, reference is made to the copy of such contract or other document filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement, each such statement being qualified in all respects by such reference.

Appendix A

RATING CATEGORIES

The following is a description of certain ratings assigned by S&P Global Ratings and Moody's.

S&P Global Ratings

An S&P Global Ratings issue credit rating is a forward-looking opinion about the creditworthiness of an obligor with respect to a specific financial obligation, a specific class of financial obligations, or a specific financial program (including ratings on medium-term note programs and commercial paper programs). It takes into consideration the creditworthiness of guarantors, insurers, or other forms of credit enhancement on the obligation and takes into account the currency in which the obligation is denominated. The opinion reflects S&P Global Ratings' view of the obligor's capacity and willingness to meet its financial commitments as they come due, and this opinion may assess terms, such as collateral security and subordination, which could affect ultimate payment in the event of default.

Issue credit ratings can be either long-term or short-term. Short-term issue credit ratings are generally assigned to those obligations considered short-term in the relevant market, typically with an original maturity of no more than 365 days. Short-term issue credit ratings are also used to indicate the creditworthiness of an obligor with respect to put features on long-term obligations. S&P Global Ratings would typically assign a long-term issue credit rating to an obligation with an original maturity of greater than 365 days. However, the ratings that S&P Global Ratings assigns to certain instruments may diverge from these guidelines based on market practices. Medium-term notes are assigned long-term ratings.

An "NR" indicates that a rating has not been assigned or is no longer assigned.

<u>Issue Credit Ratings</u>. Issue credit ratings are based, in varying degrees, on S&P Global Ratings' analysis of the following considerations:

- The likelihood of payment--the capacity and willingness of the obligor to meet its financial commitments on an obligation in accordance with the terms of the obligation;
- The nature and provisions of the financial obligation, and the promise S&P Global Ratings imputes; and
- The protection afforded by, and relative position of, the financial obligation in the event of a bankruptcy, reorganization, or other arrangement under the laws of bankruptcy and other laws affecting creditors' rights.

An issue rating is an assessment of default risk but may incorporate an assessment of relative seniority or ultimate recovery in the event of default. Junior obligations are typically rated lower than senior obligations, to reflect lower priority in bankruptcy, as noted above. (Such differentiation may apply when an entity has both senior and

Obligations rated "BB," "B," "CCC," "CC" and "C" are regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. "BB" indicates the least degree of speculation and "C" the highest. While such obligations will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these may be outweighed by large uncertainties or major exposure to adverse conditions.

An obligation rated "**BB**" is less vulnerable to nonpayment than other speculative issues. However, it faces major ongoing uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions that could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

An obligation rated "B" is more vulnerable to nonpayment than obligations rated "BB," but the obligor currently has the capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation. Adverse business, financial or economic conditions will likely impair the obligor's capacity or willingness to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

An obligation rated "CCC" is currently vulnerable to nonpayment, and is dependent upon favorable business, financial and economic conditions for the obligor to meet its financial commitments on the obligation. In the event of adverse business, financial or economic conditions, the obligor is not likely to have the capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

An obligation rated "CC" is currently highly vulnerable to nonpayment. The "CC" rating is used when a default has not yet occurred, but S&P Global Ratings expects default to be a virtual certainty, regardless of the anticipated time to default.

An obligation rated "C" is currently highly vulnerable to nonpayment, and the obligation is expected to have lower relative seniority or lower ultimate recovery compared with obligations that are rated higher.

An obligation rated "**D**" is in default or in breach of an imputed promise. For non-hybrid capital instruments, the "D" rating category is used when payments on an obligation are not made on the date due, unless S&P Global Ratings believes that such payments will be made within five business days in the absence of a stated grace period or within the earlier of the stated grace period or 30 calendar days. The "D" rating also will be used upon the filing of a bankruptcy petition or the taking of similar action and where default on an obligation is a virtual certainty, for example due to automatic stay provisions. A rating on an obligation is lowered to "D" if it is subject to a distressed debt restructuring.

Note: Ratings from "AA" to "CCC" may be modified by the addition of a plus (+) or minus (-) sign to show relative standing within the rating categories.

Short-Term Issue Credit Ratings. A short-term obligation rated "A-1" is rated in the highest category by S&P Global Ratings. The obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation is strong. Within this category, certain obligations are designated with a plus sign (+). This indicates that the obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitments on these obligations is extremely strong.

A short-term obligation rated "A-2" is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher rating categories. However, the obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation is satisfactory.

A short-term obligation rated "A-3" exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to weaken an obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

A short-term obligation rated "**B**" is regarded as vulnerable and has significant speculative characteristics. The obligor currently has the capacity to meet its financial commitments; however, it faces major ongoing uncertainties that could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitments.

A short-term obligation rated "C" is currently vulnerable to nonpayment and is dependent upon favorable business, financial, and economic conditions for the obligor to meet its financial commitments on the obligation.

A short-term obligation rated "**D**" is in default or in breach of an imputed promise. For non-hybrid capital instruments, the "D" rating category is used when payments on an obligation are not made on the date due, unless S&P Global Ratings believes that such payments will be made within any stated grace period. However, any stated

grace period longer than five business days will be treated as five business days. The "D" rating also will be used

<u>Short-Term Ratings</u>. Moody's short-term ratings, unlike its long-term ratings, apply to an individual issuer's capacity to repay all short-term obligations rather than to specific short-term borrowing programs.

Moody's employs the following designations to indicate the relative repayment ability of rated issuers:

- **P-1** Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Prime-1 have a superior ability to repay short-term debt obligations.
- P-2 Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Prime-2 have a strong ability to repay short-term debt obligations.
- P-3 Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Prime-3 have an acceptable ability to repay short-term obligations.
- **NP** Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Not Prime do not fall within any of the Prime rating categories.

US Municipal Short-Term Debt and Demand Obligation Ratings.

Short-Term Obligation Ratings. The Municipal Investment Grade ("MIG") scale is used to rate US municipal cash flow notes, bond anticipation notes and certain other short-term obligations, which typically mature in three years or less. Under certain circumstances, the MIG scale is used for bond anticipation notes with maturities of up to five years. MIG ratings are divided into three levels—MIG 1 through MIG 3—while speculative grade short-term obligations are designated "SG."

- MIG 1 This designation denotes superior credit quality. Excellent protection is afforded by established cash flows, highly reliable liquidity support, or demonstrated broad-based access to the market for refinancing.
- MIG 2 This designation denotes strong credit quality. Margins of protection are ample, although not as large as in the preceding group.
- MIG 3 This designation denotes acceptable credit quality. Liquidity and cash flow protection may be narrow, and market access for refinancing is likely to be less well-established.
- SG This designation denotes speculative-grade credit quality. Debt instruments in this category may lack sufficient margins of protection.

Variable Municipal Investment Grade Ratings. In the case of variable rate demand obligations ("VRDOs"), a two-component rating is assigned. The components are a long-term rating and a short-term demand obligation rating. The long-term rating addresses the issuer's ability to meet scheduled principal and interest payments. The short-term demand obligation rating addresses the ability of the issuer or the liquidity provider to make payments associated with the purchase-price-upon-demand feature ("demand feature") of the VRDO. The short-term demand obligation rating uses a variation of the MIG scale called the Variable Municipal Investment Grade ("VMIG"). VMIG ratings with liquidity support use as an input the short-term Counterparty Risk Assessment of the support provider, or the long-term rating of the underlying obligor in the absence of third party liquidity support. Transitions of VMIG ratings of demand obligations with conditional liquidity support differ from transitions on the Prime scale to reflect the risk that external liquidity support will terminate if the issuer's long-term rating drops below investment grade.

VMIG 1 This designation denotes superior credit quality. Excellent protection is afforded by the superior short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections rade ("MIG") scale ishe P

Appendix B

LAZARD ASSET MANAGEMENT LLC

GLOBAL PROXY VOTING POLICY

A. Introduction

Lazard Asset Management LLC and its investment advisory subsidiaries ("Lazard" or the "firm") provide investment management services for client accounts, including proxy voting services. As a fiduciary, Lazard is obligated to vote proxies in the best interests of its clients over the long-term. Lazard has developed a structure that is designed to ensure that proxy voting is conducted in an appropriate manner, consistent with clients' best interests, and within the framework of this Proxy Voting Policy (the "Policy").¹

Lazard manages assets for a variety of clients worldwide, including institutions, financial intermediaries, sovereign wealth funds, and private clients. To the extent that proxy voting authority is delegated to Lazard, Lazard's general policy is to vote proxies on a given issue in the same manner for all of its clients. This Policy is based on the view that Lazard, in its role as investment adviser, must vote proxies based on

C. General Administration

1. Overview and Governance

Lazard's proxy voting process is administered by members of its Operations Department ("the Proxy Administration Team"). Oversight of the process is provided by Lazard's Legal & Compliance Department and by a Proxy Committee comprised of senior investment professionals, members of the Legal & Compliance Department, the firm's Co-Heads of Sustainable Investment & Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance ("ESG") and other personnel. The Proxy Committee meets regularly, generally on a quarterly basis, to review this Policy and other matters relating to the firm's proxy voting functions. Meetings may be convened more frequently (for example, to discuss a specific proxy agenda or proposal) as needed. A representative of Lazard's Legal & Compliance Department will participate in all Proxy Committee meetings.

A quorum for the conduct of any meeting will be met if a majority of the Proxy Committee's members are in attendance by phone or in person. Decisions of the Proxy Committee will be made by consensus and minutes of each meeting will be taken and maintained by the Legal & Compliance Department. The Proxy Committee may, upon consultation with Lazard's Chief Compliance Officer, General Counsel or his/her designee, take any action that it believes to be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of the Policy. The Chief Compliance Officer, General Counsel or his/her designee, is responsible for updating this Policy, interpreting this Policy, and may act on behalf of the Proxy Committee in circumstances where a meeting of the members is not feasible.

2. Role of Third Parties

Lazard currently subscribes to advisory and other proxy voting services provided by Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. ("ISS") and Glass, Lewis & Co. ("Glass Lewis"). These proxy advisory services provide independent analysis and recommendations regarding various

For each shareholder meeting the Proxy Administration Team provides Portfolio Management with the agenda and proposals, the Approved Guidelines, independent vote recommendations from Glass Lewis and ISS and supporting analyses for each proposal. Unless Portfolio Management disagrees with the Approved Guideline for a specific proposal, or where a potential material conflict of interest exists, the Proxy Administration Team will generally vote the proposal according to the Approved Guideline. In cases where Portfolio Management recommends a vote contrary to the Approved Guideline, a member of the Proxy Administration Team will contact a member of the Legal & Compliance Department advising the Proxy Committee. Such communication, which may be in the form of an e-mail, shall include: the name of the issuer, a description of the proposal, the Approved Guideline, any potential conflict of interest presented and the reason(s) Portfolio Management believes a proxy vote in this manner is in the best interest of clients In such cases, the Proxy Committee and the Legal & Compliance Department will review the proposal and make a determination.

Where the Approved Guideline for a particular type of proxy proposal is to vote on a case-by-case basis, Lazard believes that Portfolio Management is best able to evaluate the potential impact to shareholders resulting from a particular proposal. Similarly, with respect to certain Lazard strategies, as discussed more fully in Sections F and G below, the Proxy Administration Team will consult with Portfolio Management to determine when it would be appropriate to abstain from voting. The Proxy Administration Team seeks Portfolio Management's recommendation on how to vote all such proposals. The Proxy Administration Team may also consult with Lazard's Chief Compliance Officer, General Counsel or his/her designee, and may seek the final approval of the Proxy Committee regarding a recommendation by Portfolio Management.

As a global firm, we recognize that there are differing governance models adopted in various countries and that local laws and practices vary widely. Although the Approved Guidelines are intended to be applied uniformly world-wide, where appropriate, Lazard will consider regional/local law and guidance in applying the Policy.

D. Specific Proxy Items

Shareholders receive proxies involving many different proposals. Many proposals are routine in nature, such as a change in a company's name. Others are more complicated, such as items regarding corporate governance and shareholder rights, changes to capital structure, stock option plans and other executive compensation/ issues, election of directors, mergers and other significant transactions and social or political issues. Lazard's Approved Guidelines for certain common agenda items are outlined below. The Proxy Committee will also consider any other proposals presented and determine whether to implement a new Approved Guideline.

Certain strategy-specific considerations may result in Lazard voting proxies other than according to the

about the accounts presented or audit procedures used or the company is not responsive to shareholder questions about specific items that should be publicly disclosed); and

• changes to a company's name.

2. Amendments to Board Policy/Charter/Regulation:

Proposals to amend a company's Articles of Association and other bylaws are commonly seen at shareholder meetings. Companies usually disclose what is being amended, or the amended bylaws, or both in their meeting circulars. Amendments are nearly always bundled together as a single voting resolution, and Lazard's general approach is to review these amendments on a case-by-case basis and to oppose article amendments as a whole when they include changes Lazard opposes.

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote FOR bylaw amendments that are driven by regulatory changes and are technical in nature or meant to update company-specific information such as address and/or business scope.

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote AGAINST bylaw amendments if

- · there is no disclosure on the proposed amendments or full text of the amended bylaw; or
- the amendments include increase in the decision authority of what is considered "excessive" and the company fails to provide a compelling justification.

3. Corporate Governance and Shareholder Rights

Many proposals address issues related to corporate governance and shareholder rights. These items often relate to a board of directors and its committees, anti-takeover measures, and the conduct of the company's shareholder meetings.

a. Board of Directors and its Committees²

Lazard votes in favor of provisions that it believes will increase the effectiveness of an issuer's board of directors.

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote FOR the following:

- the establishment of an independent nominating committee, audit committee or compensation committee of a board of directors;
- a requirement that a substantial majority (e.g., 2/3) of a company's directors be independent;
- a proposal that a majority of the entirety of the board's committees be comprised of independent directors;
- proposals seeking to de-classify a board;
- · the implementation of director stock retention/holding periods;

² Given the governance practices unique to the Japanese market, the voting structure described herein is aligned with the Japanese Stewardship Code.

- proposals relating to the establishment of directors' mandatory retirement age and age
 restrictions for directors especially where such proposals seek to facilitate the improvement of
 the diversity of the board; and
- changes to the articles of association and other relevant documents which are in the long-term

US Listed Corporates

Given the governance practices unique to the United States market, Lazard has adopted the following principles-based approach to proxy voting that is designed to address:

• Board effectiveness – supporting board structure, diversity of cognitive thought,

- management proposals to increase or decrease authorized common or preferred stock (unless it is believed that doing so is intended to serve as an anti-takeover measure);
- stock splits and reverse stock splits;

- management proposals to issue a new class of common or preferred shares (unless covered by an Approved Guideline relating to the disapplication of pre-emption rights);
- the use of proceeds and the company's past share issuances;⁶
- proposals seeking to approve or amend stock ownership limitations or transfer restrictions;
- loan and financing proposals. In assessing requests for loan financing provided by a related party the following factors will be considered: (a) use of proceeds, size or specific amount of loan requested, interest rate and relation of the party providing the loan.

Lazard has adopted Approved Guidelines to vote AGAINST:

- changes in capital structure designed to be used in poison pill plans or which seeks to disregard pre-emption rights in a way that does not follow guidance set by the UK Pre-Emption Group's Statement of Principles;
- the provision of loans to clients, controlling shareholders and actual controlling persons of the company; and
- the provision of loans to an entity in which the company's ownership stake is less than 75% and the financing provision is not proportionate to the company's equity stake.

5. Executive Compensation Issues

Lazard supports efforts by companies to adopt compensation and incentive programs to attract and retain the highest caliber management possible, and to align the interests of a board, management and employees with those of long-term shareholders. Lazard generally favors programs intended to reward management and employees for positive and sustained, long-term performance but will take into account various considerations such as whether compensation appears to be appropriate for the company after an analysis of the totality of the circumstances (including the company's time in history and evolution).

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote FOR:

- employee stock purchase plans, deferred compensation plans, stock option plans and stock appreciation rights plans that are in the long-term interests of shareholders;
- proposals to submit severance agreements to shareholders for approval;
- annual advisory votes on compensation outcomes where the outcomes are considered to be aligned with the interest of shareholders; and
- annual compensation policy votes where the policy structures are considered to be aligned with the interest of shareholders.

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote on a CASE by CASE basis regarding:

- restricted stock plans that do not define performance criteria; and
- proposals to approve executive loans to exercise options.

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote AGAINST:

• proposals to re-price underwater options;

Specifically, with respect to the issuance of shares to raise funds for general financing purposes, Lazard will consider the Measures for the

- annual advisory votes on remuneration outcomes where the outcomes are considered not to be in the interests of shareholders; and
- annual remuneration policy vote where the policy structures are considered not to be in the interests of shareholders.

US Listed Corporates

Given the governance practices unique to the United States market, Lazard maintains the view that votes regarding Say on Pay should in principle, support fair and transparent remuneration. In addition, we also consider:

- the level of dissent on previous Say on Pay votes; and
- individual accountability, for example holding the Chair of the Compensation Committee accountable where weaknesses have been identified.

6. Mergers and Other Significant Transactions

Shareholders are asked to consider a number of different types of significant transactions, including mergers, acquisitions, sales of all or substantially all of a company's assets, reorganizations involving business combinations and liquidations. Each of these transactions is unique. Therefore, Lazard's Approved Guideline is to vote on a CASE by CASE basis for these proposals.

7. Environmental, Social, and Corporate Governance

Proposals involving environmental, social, and corporate governance issues take many forms and cover a wide array of issues. Some examples may include: proposals to have a company increase its environmental disclosure; adoption of principles to limit or eliminate certain business activities; adoption of certain conservation efforts; adoption of proposals to improve the diversity of the board, the senior management team and the workforce in general; adoption of proposals to improve human capital management or the adoption of certain principles regarding employment practices or discrimination policies. These items are often presented by shareholders and are often opposed by the company's management and its board of directors.

As set out in Lazard's separate ESG Policy, Lazard is committed to an investment approach that incorporates ESG considerations in a comprehensive manner in order to safeguard the long-term interests of our clients and to manage more effectively long-term investment risks and opportunities related to ESG matters. Lazard generally supports the notion that corporations should be expected to act as good citizens. Lazard generally votes on environmental, social and corporate governance proposals in a way that it believes will most increase long-term shareholder value.

Lazard's Approved Guidelines are structured to evaluate many environmental, social and corporate governance proposals on a case-by-case basis.

- However, as a guide, Lazard will generally vote FOR proposals:
- asking for a company to increase its environmental/social disclosures (e.g., to provide a corporate sustainability report);
- · seeking the approval of anti-discrimination policies;
- · which are considered socially responsible agenda items;
- · which improve an investee company's ESG risk management and related disclosures; and
- · deemed to be in the long-term interests of shareholders.

8. Shareholder Proposals

Lazard believes in the ability of shareholders to leverage their rights related to the use of shareholder proposals to address deficits in best practices and related disclosures by companies. Many ESG issues are improved through such use of shareholder proposals. For example, some

companies are collaborating with shareholders on such proposals by voicing their support and recommending that shareholders vote in-line with such proposals.

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote FOR shareholder proposals which:

- seek improved disclosure of an investee company's ESG practices over an appropriate timeframe;
- seek improved transparency over how the investee company is supporting the transition to a low carbon economy;
- seek to improve the diversity of the board;
- · seek improved disclosures on the diversity of the board and the wider workforce;
- seek to establish minimum stock-ownership requirements for directors over an appropriate time frame;
- seek to eliminate or restrict severance agreements, or are deemed to be in the long-term interests of shareholders including Lazard's clients.

Lazard has Approved Guidelines generally to vote AGAINST shareholder proposals which:

- seek to infringe excessively on management's decision-making flexibility;
- seek to establish additional board committees (absent demonstrable need);
- seek to establish term limits for directors if this is unnecessary;
- seek to change the size of a board (unless this facilitates improved board diversity);
- seek to require two candidates for each board seat; or
- are considered not to be in the long-terms interests of shareholders.

E. Voting Securities in Different Countries

Laws and regulations regarding shareholder rights and voting procedures differ dramatically across the world. In certain countries, the requirements or restrictions imposed before proxies may be voted may outweigh any benefit that could be realized by voting the proxies involved. For example, certain countries restrict a shareholder's ability to sell shares for a certain period of time if the shareholder votes proxies at a meeting (a practice known as "share blocking"). In other instances, the costs of voting a proxy (i.e., by being routinely required to send a representative to the meeting) may simply outweigh any benefit to the client if the proxy is voted. Generally, the Proxy Administration Team will consult with Portfolio Management in determining whether to vote these proxies.

There may be other instances where Portfolio Management may wish to refrain from voting proxies (See Section G.1. below).

F. Conflicts of Interest

1. Overview

This Policy and related procedures implemented by Lazard are designed to address potential conflicts of interest posed by Lazard's business and organizational structure. Examples of such potential conflicts of interest are:

- Lazard Frères & Co. LLC ("LF&Co."), Lazard's parent company and a registered broker-dealer, or a financial advisory affiliate, has a relationship with a company the shares of which are held in accounts of Lazard clients, and has provided financial advisory or related services to the company with respect to an upcoming significant proxy proposal (i.e., a merger or other significant transaction);
- Lazard serves as an investment adviser for a company the management of which supports a particular proposal;
- Lazard serves as an investment adviser for the pension plan of an organization that sponsors a proposal; or
- A Lazard employee who would otherwise be involved in the decision-making process regarding a particular proposal has a material relationship with the issuer or owns shares of the issuer.

2. General Policy

All proxies must be voted in the best long-term interest of each Lazard client, without consideration of the interests of Lazard, LF&Co. or any of their employees or affiliates. The Proxy Administration Team is responsible for all proxy voting in accordance with this Policy after consulting with the appropriate member or members of Portfolio Management, the Proxy Committee and/or the Legal & Compliance Department. No other employees of Lazard, LF&Co. or their affiliates may influence or attempt to influence the vote on any proposal. Violations of this Policy could result in disciplinary action, including letter of censure, fine or suspension, or termination of employment. Any such conduct may also violate state and Federal securities and other laws, as well as Lazard's client agreements, which could result in severe civil and criminal penalties being imposed, including the violator being prohibited from ever working for any organization engaged in a securities business. Every officer and employee of Lazard who participates in any way in the decision-making process regarding proxy voting is responsible for considering whether they have a conflicting interest or the appearance of a conflicting interest on any proposal. A conflict could arise, for example, if an officer or employee has a family member who is an officer of the issuer or owns securities of the issuer. If an officer or employee believes such a conflict exists or may appear to exist, he or she should notify the Chief Compliance Officer immediately and, unless determined otherwise, should not continue to participate in the decisionmaking process.

3. Monitoring for Conflicts and Voting When a Material Conflict Exists

The Proxy Administration Team monitors for potential conflicts of interest that could be viewed as influencing the outcome of Lazard's voting decision. Consequently, the steps that Lazard takes to monitor conflicts, and voting proposals when the appearance of a material conflict exists, differ depending on whether the Approved Guideline for the specific item is clearly defined to vote for or against, or is to vote on a case-by-case basis. Any questions regarding application of these conflict procedures, including whether a conflict exists, should be addressed to Lazard's Chief Compliance Officer or General Counsel.

a. Where Approved Guideline Is For or Against

Lazard has an Approved Guideline to vote for or against regarding most proxy agenda/proposals. Generally, unless Portfolio Management disagrees with the Approved Guideline for a specific proposal, the Proxy Administration Team votes according to the Approved Guideline. It is therefore necessary to consider whether an apparent conflict of interest exists when Portfolio Management disagrees with the Approved Guideline. The Proxy Administration Team will use its best efforts to determine whether a conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest exists. If conflict appears to exist, then the proposal will be voted according to the Approved Guideline. Lazard also reserves its right to Abstain.

In addition, in the event hel(rvespardozard Tf 1 0 0 -1 0 9.60000038 Tm 0 g [3.)-2850(Monitorin1(og)-1(e

J. Review of Policy and Approved Guidelines

The Proxy Committee will review this Policy at least annually to consider whether any changes should be made to it or to any of the Approved Guidelines. The Proxy Committee will make revisions to its Approved Guidelines when it determines it is appropriate or when it sees an opportunity to materially improve outcomes for clients. Questions or concerns regarding the Policy should be raised with Lazard's General Counsel or Chief Compliance Officer.

Revised As Of November 15, 2023